

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Regular First Appeal No. 1436 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.09.2021

Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation (Now Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited).

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Ashok Kumar and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Ashwani Chopra, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for the HSIIDC.

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate
for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana and Ms. Vibha Tewari, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Sanjay Vashisth and Mr. Akash Vasisth,
Advocates.

Mr. B.K.Bagri, Advocate.

Mr. J.P.Jangu, Advocate.

Mr. R.D.Yadav and Mr. S.S.Chaudhary, Advocates.

Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. By this judgment, this Bench shall dispose of a bunch of the regular first appeals/cross-appeals (details whereof are available at the foot

of the judgment) filed against the various judgments passed by the Additional District Judge, Rewari (hereinafter referred to as “the Reference Court”) with respect to the acquisition of the land measuring 1016 acres 1 kanal and 3 marlas (8129 kanals 3 marlas) located in the villages Suthani, Jaliawas, Suthana, Karnawas, Chirhara, Asalwas, Banipur, Patuhera and Bawalpur Jaliawas, Asalwas, Suthani, Suthana, Karnawas, Chirhara, Banipur, Bawal and Patuhera by a common notification dated 02.12.2005 under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1894 Act”). The facts of the case are being taken from the Regular Second Appeal No. 1436 of 2018 arising from award No. 16/R dated 25.05.2006.

2. On 02.12.2005, the State of Haryana issued a notification under Section 4 of the he 1894 Act proposing to acquire the land in the aforesaid villages for extension of the Industrial Growth Centre, Bawal, to be structured as Industrial Recreation and Other Public Utility Centre. The Land Acquisition Collector (hereinafter referred to as “the LAC”) announced the various awards village-wise, details whereof are compiled as under:

- I) Village Suthani - Award No. 8/R dated 17.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 1285 kanals and 2 marlas, acquired as Chahi (1114K-18M), Banjar Qadim (125K-4M) and Gairmumkin (45K).
- II) Village Jaliawas - Award No.9/R dated 17.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 325 kanals and 4 marlas, acquired as Chahi (296K-02M), Barani (17K-12M) and Gairmumkin (11 k).

- III) Village Suthana - Award No. 10/R dated 23.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 27 kanals and 13 marlas (Chahi).
- IV) Village Karnawas - Award No. 11/R dated 23.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 778 kanals and 13 marlas, acquired as Chahi (437 K), Bhud (128K-02M), Banjar (148K-17M), Mugda (13K-6M) and Gairmumkin (14K-18M).
- V) Village Chirhara - Award No. 12/R dated 25.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 112 kanals and 9 marlas, acquired as Chahi (90K-6M), Barani (9K-6M) and Gairmumkin (12K-17M).
- VI) Village Asalwas - Award No. 13/R dated 25.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 1562 kanals and 11 marlas, acquired as Chahi (1308K-4M), Barani (226K-8M) and Gairmumkin (27K-19M).
- VII) Village Banipur - Award No. 14/R dated 25.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 1543 kanals and 14 marlas, acquired as Chahi (1478K-19M), Barani (17K-02 M) and Gairmumkin (55K-12M).
- VIII) Village Patuhera - Award No. 15/R dated 25.05.2006. The total acquisition of the land is 1934 kanals and 13 marlas, acquired as Chahi (1643K-17M), Barani (259K-11M) and Gairmumkin (31K- 05M).
- IX) Village Bawalpur - Award No. 16/R dated 25.05.2006.

The total acquisition of the land is 550 kanals and 12 marlas, acquired as Chahi (529K-13M) and Gairmumkin (20K- 19M).

2. FACTS

2.1 The LAC through the aforesaid various awards uniformly assessed the market value of the land @ ₹12,50,000/- per acre. The owners were also held entitled to the amended provisions of the 1894 Act including the additional amount and solatium @ 30%. On their applications, the LAC referred the matter to the Court for determination of the market value of the land under Section 18 of the 1894 Act.

2.2 In the first round, on 26.02.2015, the Reference Court assessed the market value of the land @ ₹16,00,000/- per acre. However, on 07.04.2007, in the appeals, filed by the owners as well as the beneficiary of the acquisition i.e. Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation (hereinafter referred to as “the HSIIDC”), the cases were remitted back to the Reference Court for a fresh decision. The main judgment is in *Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation (now Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited) v. Ashok Kumar and Others (Regular First Appeal No. 3619 of 2015)*. As directed by the High Court, the parties were permitted to lead further evidence and through the various separate judgments, the Reference Court has assessed a uniform market value of the acquired land @ ₹19,30,491/-.

2.3 At this stage, it is appropriate to notice that normally, 1 acre of land consists of 4840 square yards area. Each acre consists of 8 kanals of

land. In other words, 1 kanal of land is equivalent to 605 square yards. Each kanal of land consists of 20 marlas. Accordingly, 1 acre of land normally consists of 160 marlas of land. Each marla of land is a little bit more than 30 square yards area. The expression 'Chahi' means the land which has an irrigation facility and can be used for growing 2 crops in a year, whereas expression 'Barani' means the agricultural land which is dependent on rainfall for irrigation. Normally, in the later type of land only one crop can be sown depending upon the weather conditions. On the other hand, the expression 'Banjar' depicts land which is lying barren. The expression 'Gair Mumkin' is used by the revenue officials to describe a land which is used for non agricultural purposes.

2.4 Heard learned counsel representing the parties and with their able assistance, perused the paper book as well as the record of the Reference Court, which was requisitioned.

3. EVIDENCE

3.1 At this stage, it is important to note that the Reference Court, while deciding all these matters by separate judgments dealing with individual cases, village wise, has awarded a uniform amount, i.e., ₹19,30,491/-. On a careful perusal of the evidence produced by both the parties, it is evident that most of the sale deeds produced in one case have been repeated in the others. Thus, this Bench has taken the liberty to compile the information of all the sale deeds produced by the landowners, while excluding the repetition, in the following table:-

TABLE “A”

S.No.	Date	Area	Value (₹)	Per Acre (₹)	Village	Remarks
01.	16.11.2005	4 M	36,000	14,40,000	Suthani	Plot
02.	29.08.2005	4K-15M	7,15,000	12,04,210	Suthani	--
03.	21.06.2001	1 K	1,03,000	8,24,000	Suthani	--
04.	08.06.2005	7 M	2,20,000	50,28,571	Bawal	Chahi
05.	08.06.2005	8 M	2,47,000	49,40,000	Bawal	--
06.	13.09.2004	2 M	1,00,000	80,00,000	Bawal	--
07.	06.01.2005	3 M	57,000	30,40,000	Bawal	--
08.	22.09.2004	11 M	1,90,000	27,63,636	Bawal	--
09.	13.06.2005	4 M	1,33,000	53,20,000	Bawal	--
10.	13.06.2005	9 M	2,60,000	46,22,222	Bawal	--
11.	13.06.2005	1 K	6,00,000	48,00,000	Bawal	--
12.	13.06.2005	7 M	2,16,000	49,37,142	Bawal	--
13.	13.06.2005	3 M	2,20,000	1,17,33,333	Bawal	Plot
14.	22.09.2004	2 M	1,00,000	80,00,000	Bawal	--
15.	15.06.2005	6 M	1,75,000	46,66,666	Bawal	--
16.	14.06.2005	15 M	3,60,000	38,40,000	Bawal	--
17.	04.05.2005	11 M	1,71,000	24,87,272	Chirahara	--
18.	26.04.2005	1 M	2,80,000	4,48,00,000	Chirahara	Plot
19.	04.03.2005	1 M	1,00,000	1,60,00,000	Chirahara	--
20.	10.05.2005	3 M	1,95,000	1,40,00,000	Bawal	Residential
21.	16.03.2005	3 M	60,000	32,00,000	Mouja	Residential
					Bawal	
22.	28.06.2004	2 M	60,000	48,00,000	Bawal	Commercial
						1
23.	26.08.2004	8 M	4,80,000	96,00,000	Bawal	Plot
24.	06.01.2005	5 M	2,76,000	88,32,000	Bawal	Residential
25.	23.08.2004	7 M	1,31,000	29,94,285	Bawal	--
26.	02.03.2005	9 M	11,00,000	1,95,55,555	Bawal	--
27.	06.10.2005	18 M	4,44,000	39,46,666	Mouja	--
					Bawal	
28.	19.10.2005	2 K	9,60,000	38,40,000	Bawal	Plot
29.	19.10.2005	19 M	4,60,000	38,73,684	Bawal	--
30.	07.10.2005	18 M	4,43,000	39,37,777	Bawal	--
30A.	07.10.2005	18 M	4,44,000	39,46,666	Bwal	--
31.	19.10.2005	12 M	2,77,000	36,93,333	Bawal	Plot
32.	02.03.2005	9 M	11,00,000	1,95,55,555	Bawal	Sale
						between

						two companies
33.	05.12.2007	4K-3M	5,71,000	11,00,723.00	Karnawas	--
34.	21.11.2007	2 K	3,00,000	12,00,000	Karnawas	–
35.	19.12.2001	6 M	40,000	10,66,666	Banipur	Plot
36.	07.07.2003	6M-16K	27,70,502	13.85,251	Jaliawas	Sale between two Industries
37.	14.06.2005	5M	3,60,000	1,15,20,000	Bawal	Residential
38.	10.04.2008	85K- 16 M	8,55,00,000	79,72,028.00	Deodhai	--
39.	12.12.2007	32K-4M	3,96,36,000	98,47,453.00	Deodhai	--
40.	16.11.2007	58K- 15 M	9,30,00,000	1,26,63,830.00	Deodhai	--
41.	22.12.2006	1K-2M	5,61,614	40,84,465	Deodhai	--
42.	09.05.2007	18 M	5,45,000	48,44,444	Deodhai	--

3.2 Per contra, the HSIIDC has produced the copies of various sale deeds to prove that the Land Acquisition Collector has correctly assessed the market value and there is no requirement for any further enhancement. The details of the sale deeds, produced by the HSIIDC, are compiled in a tabulated form, which reads as under:

TABLE “B”

S.No.	Date	Area	Total	Amount Per	Village	Type of Land
			Amount (₹)	Acre (₹)		
01.	25.04.2005	8 K	4,00,000	4,00,000	Patuhera	Agriculture
02.	09.05.2005	4 K	1,75,000	3,50,000	Patuhera	Agriculture
03.	24.12.2004	2K-7M	1,60,000	5,44,681	Chirahara	Agriculture
04.	17.12.2004	5 M	25,000	8,00,000	Chirahara	Gair Mumkin
05.	22.12.2004	7M	40,000	9,14,286	Chirahara	Gair Mumkin
06.	20.04.2005	12 M	50,000	6,66,667	Chirahara	Agriculture
07.	18.05.2005	3 M	10,000	5,33,333	Chirahara	Gair Mumkin
08.	30.05.2005	2 K	65,000	2,60000	Chirahara	Agriculture
09.	03.01.2005	9K-13M	12,15,000	10,07,524	Asalwas	Agriculture
10.	17.01.2005	1 M	7,000	11,20,000	Asalwas	Agriculture

11.	14.03.2005	5K-1M	6,30,000	9,98,020	Asalwas	Agriculture
12.	05.04.2005	15K-5M	11,94,000	6,26,361	Asalwas	Agriculture
13.	23.05.2005	2 K	2,50,000	10,00,000	Asalwas	Agriculture
14.	31.05.2005	8K-1M	10,06,500	10,00,248	Asalwas	Agriculture
15.	16.06.2005	4K-1M	3,03.800	6,00,099	Bawal	Agriculture
16.	27.06.2005	2 K	1,50,000	6,00,000	Bawal	Agriculture
17.	14.03.2005	35 K	30,00,000	6,85,714	Bawal	Agriculture
18.	30.05.2005	2K-12M	1,62,500	5,00,000	Bawal	Agriculture
19.	09.05.2005	2 K	1,50,000	6,00,000	Bawal	Agriculture
20.	16.05.2005	5K-4M	2,60,000	4,00,000	Bawal	Agriculture
21.	23.05.2005	3K-16M	2,37,000	4,98,947	Bawal	Agriculture
22.	01.06.2005	2 K	1,50,000	6,00,000	Bawal	Agriculture
23.	02.06.2005	7K-5M	2,25,000	2,48,276	Bawal	Agriculture
24.	02.06.2005	30K-4M	11,25,000	2,98,013	Bawal	Agriculture
25.	07.06.2005	14K-9M	21,00,000	11,62,630	Bawal	Agriculture
26.	07.06.2005	4K-16M	7,00,000	11,66,667	Bawal	Agriculture
27.	13.06.2005	33 K	45,00,000	10,90,909	Bawal	Agriculture
28.	20.06.2005	4K-16M	7.00,000	11,66,667	Bawal	Agriculture
29.	24.06.2005	3K-17M	3,00,000	6,23,377	Bawal	Agriculture
30.	27.06.2005	3 K	2,50,000	6,66,667	Bawal	Agriculture
31.	28.06.2005	12K-	9,00,000	5,69,170	Bawal	Agriculture
		13M				
32.	28.06.2005	12 K	9,00,000	6,00,000	Bawal	Agriculture
33.	28.06.2005	9K-1M	6,78,800	6,00,044	Chirahara	Agriculture
34.	22.12.2003	5 M	36,000	11,52,000	Chirahara	Plot
35.	13.08.2003	3 M	18,000	9,60,000	Chirahara	Plot
36.	26.05.2003	9K-9M	6,00,000	5,07.936.50	Chirahara	Agriculture
37.	20.05.2004	13 M	60,000	7,38,461.53	Chirahara	Agriculture
38.	20.05.2004	1K-2M	1,00,000	7,27,272.72	Chirahara	Agriculture
39.	28.04.2004	1 K	1,21,000	9,68,000	Suthani	Agriculture
40.	29.09.2003	2 M	12,000	9,60,000	Jalalpur	Gair Mumkin
41.	15.04.2003	16 K	8,00,000	4,00,000	Suthani	Agriculture
42.	09.01.2007	1K-13M	1,65,000	8,00,000	Banipur	Agriculture

3.3 The parties have also examined the following witnesses to prove the potentiality of the acquired land, its location and the prevailing market value at the relevant time:-

1. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Bawal**, the land

owners have examined Ran Singh as PW.1, Jang Bahadur as PW.2, S.S.Lamba, Manager, HSIIDC, as PW.3, Kailash Chand, Registration Clerk in the office of the Sub Registrar, Bawal, as PW.4, Suresh Chand as PW.5 and Mahabir Yadav, Civil Draftsman, as PW.6.

PW.1 Ran Singh and PW.2 Jang Bahadur have filed identical affidavits explaining the location of the acquired land. They have highlighted that the HSIIDC has sold a hotel site @ ₹3,250/- per square meter. PW.3 S.S.Lamba, Manager, HSIIDC, has also brought the allotment letter of the hotel site. PW.4 Kailash Chand, Registration Clerk, has proved the copies of the sale deeds. PW.5 Suresh Chand is also one of the owners of the agricultural land. PW.6 Mahabir Yadav has produced and proved the layout plan. On the other hand, the respondent-HSIIDC has examined Rajiv Kumar, Computer Operator-cum-Clerk, in the office of the LAC-cum-SDO(Civil), Rewari, as RW.1. He has produced and proved the notification under Section 4 and 6 of the 1894 Act and the award passed by the Land Acquisition Collector. Ashok Kumar, an official of the HSIIDC, has been examined as RW.2, who admitted that in the years 1992, 1995, 2005 and 2008, the industrial model township was developed in the villages, namely Chilhana, Suthana, Suthani, Jaliawas, Asalwas, Banipur, Jalalpur, Rudh and Patuhera. Sunil, Registration Clerk, Tehsil Bawal, has appeared as RW.3 and has produced the copies of the sale

deeds.

2. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Suthani**, the land owners have examined Ram Singh, Lambardar, as PW.1, Subhash as PW.2, Abhey Singh, Legal Assistant, HSIIDC, Bawal, as PW.3, Om Parkash, Registration Clerk in the office of the Sub Registrar, Bawal, as PW.4, Kailash Chand, Registration Clerk in the office of the Sub Registrar, Bawal, as PW.5, Rakesh Kumar, Patwari Halqa Karnawas, as PW.6, Kuldeep, Patwari Halqa Sulkha, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the same witnesses have been examined by the respondent-HSIIDC as noticed in the reference petition arising from the village Bawal.

3. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Chirhara**, the land owners have examined Jaswant Kumar as PW.1, Bhoom Singh as PW.2, Kuldeep, Patwari Halqa Sulkha, as PW.3, Abhey Singh, Legal Assistant, HSIIDC, Bawal, as PW.4, Sunil Kumar, Ahlmad to SDO(Civil)-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the same witnesses have been examined by the respondent-HSIIDC as noticed in the reference petition arising from the village Bawal.

4. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Patuhera**, the land owners have examined Gordhan as PW.1, Karan Singh as PW.2, Rakesh Kumar, Patwari Halqa Karnawas, as PW.3, Ram Kumar, Patwari Halqa Banipur, as PW.4, S.S.Lamba, Manager, HSIIDC, as PW.5, Sunil Kumar, Ahlmad to SDO(Civil)-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the respondent-HSIIDC has examined Ashok Kumar, an official of the HSIIDC, as RW.1 and Jitender Kumar, Clerk in the office of the SDM, Bawal, as RW.2.

5. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Karnawas**, the land owners have examined Som Dutt as PW.1, Soba Ram as PW.2, Abhey Singh, Legal Assistant, HSIIDC, Bawal, as PW.3, Om Parkash, Registration Clerk in the office of the Sub Registrar, Bawal, as PW.4, Rakesh Kumar, Patwari Halqa Karnawas, as PW.5, Mahabir Yadav, Civil Draftsman, as PW.6, Sunil Kumar, Ahlmad to SDO(Civil)-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the respondent-HSIIDC has examined Rajiv Kumar, Computer Operator-cum-Clerk, in the office of the LAC-cum-SDO(Civil), Rewari, as RW.1, Ashok Kumar, an official of the HSIIDC, as RW.2, Sunil, Registration clerk,

Tehsil Bawal, as RW.3 and Narender Kumar, Assistant Registration Clerk, Tehsil Rewari, as RW.4.

6. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Banipur**, the land owners have examined Ramphal as PW.1, Ram Singh as PW.2, Santosh Anand, Patwari Circle Bawal, as PW.3, Kailash Chand, Registry Clerk in the office of the Sub Registrar, Bawal, as PW.5, Sunil Kumar, Ahlmad to SDO(Civil)-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the respondent-HSIIDC has examined Rajiv Kumar, Computer Operator-cum-Clerk, in the office of the LAC-cum-SDO(Civil), Rewari, as RW.1, Om Parkash, Clerk, HRC Branch in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Rewari, as RW.2, Ashok Kumar, an official of the HSIIDC, as RW.3 and Jitender Kumar, Clerk in the office of the SDM, Bawal, as RW.4.

7. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Asalwas**, the land owners have examined Banwari as PW.1, Ramphal as PW.2, Rakesh Kumar, Patwari Halqa Karnawas, as PW.3, Ram Kumar, Patwari Halqa Banipur, as PW.4, S.S.Lamba, Manager, HSIIDC, as PW.5, Jitender Kumar, Assistant Registration Clerk, Bawal, as PW.6, Sunil Kumar, Ahlmad to SDO(Civil)-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the

office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the respondent-HSIIDC has examined Rajiv Kumar, Computer Operator-cum-Clerk, in the office of the LAC-cum-SDO(Civil), Rewari, as RW.1, Om Parkash, Clerk, HRC Branch in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Rewari, as RW.2, Ashok Kumar, an official of the HSIIDC, as RW.3 and Jitender Kumar, Clerk in the office of the SDM, Bawal, as RW.4.

8. In the proceedings before the Reference Court arising from the acquisition of the land of village **Jaliawas**, the land owners have examined Dhan Singh as PW.1, Jaswant Singh as PW.2, Kuldeep, Patwari Halqa Sulkha, as PW.3, Abhey Singh, Legal Assistant, HSIIDC, Bawal, as PW.4, Kailash Chand, Registration Clerk in the office of the Sub Registrar, Bawal, as PW.5, Mahabir Yadav, Civil Draftsman, as PW.6, Sunil Kumar, Ahlmad to SDO(Civil)-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.7 and Rajender Singh, Patwari in the office of the DRO-cum-LAC, Rewari, as PW.8.

On the other hand, the respondent-HSIIDC has examined Ashok Kumar, an official of the HSIIDC, as RW.1 and Sunil, Registration Clerk, Tehsil Bawal, has appeared as RW2.

4. ARGUMENTS OF THE LEARNED COUNSELS REPRESENTING THE PARTIES

4.1 The learned Senior counsel representing the HSIIDC submits that the Reference Court has committed a material error in relying upon the sale exemplars of tiny pieces of residential or commercial plots to determine the market value of the agricultural land. The court below has also erred in refusing to take into consideration the sale exemplars produced by the HSIIDC on the ground that the aforesaid sale deeds reflect a price lower than

consideration. The Reference Court has further erred in observing that the LAC has assessed the land on the basis of the Collector's rate, whereas it is proved on the file that the State Government had constituted a Committee headed by the Commissioner of the concerned Division for assessing the market value of the acquired land. Further, while drawing the attention of the Court to the sale deeds produced by the State, he contends that even if the sale deeds with regard to the smaller sized plots are ignored and the six sale deeds showing the higher price are taken into consideration, still the average price per acre will come to ₹9,79,486/-. Further, he contends that the sale deeds, relied upon by the Court, have mostly been executed and registered in the month of October, 2005, whereas the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act is dated 02.12.2005. He submits that the afore referred sale deeds have been executed only to jack up the price or mislead the Court.

4.2 Per contra, the learned counsels representing the land owners have relied upon the allotment letter issued by the HSIIDC on 27.04.2006 with respect to a hotel site, whereby 3456 square meters of the area was sold for a total consideration of ₹15,50,00,000/-. They further contend that the Court has erred in applying 50% cut towards the development charges. They rely upon the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Major General Kapil Mehra v. Union of India (2015) 2 SCC 262*** to contend that only 30% cut could have been imposed. The HSIIDC has already developed the adjoining area as a large number of industries have come up. They contend that the industries such as "M/s Asahi India Safety Glass Limited, M/s Maruti Syntex Limited, M/s Ramuka Bhagoria Cement Limited, M/s Garuda Clays, M/s Mahavir Food Limited, M/s Haryana Suraj Malt Limited,

M/s Asian Cane Limited, M/s Haryana Pashpati Woolen Limited, M/s Musashi Limited, M/s YKK Limited and M/s Nerolac Limited, have already set up their industries and started operating from the nearby area. It has further been alleged that the Bawal Town is located at 1-2 Kms. and the National Highway No. 72 passes close to the acquired land. The Maharishi Vidya Mandir Public School and Research Center of the Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, have also come up in the nearby area. They further, while drawing the attention of the Court to the acquisition of the land in village Rudh vide notification dated 08.04.2004, contend that the Reference Court had assessed the market value of the land @ ₹24,36,000/- per acre. While relying upon the judgment passed in *Special Land Acquisition Officer & Another v. M.K. Rafiq Saheb (2011) 7 SCC 714*, they submit that the sale deeds of the smaller sized plots cannot be ignored by the Court while assessing the market value.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 The market value of the acquired land is to be determined on the date of issuance of the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act i.e. 02.12.2005. In other words, the crucial date for determination of the market value of the land in these cases is 02.12.2005. Section 15 of the 1894 Act provides that the Collector shall be guided by the provisions contained in Section 23 and 24 of the 1894 Act in determining the amount of compensation. Section 23, 24 and 25 of the 1894 Act are extracted as under:-

“23. Matters to be considered in determining compensation-

(1) In determining the amount of compensation to be awarded

for land acquired under this Act, the Court shall take into consideration—

first the market-value of the land at the date of the publication of the notification under Section 4, sub-section (1).

secondly the damage sustained by the person interested, by reason of the taking of any standing crops or trees which may be on the land at the time of the Collector's taking possession thereof;

thirdly the damage (if any) sustained by the person interested, at the time of the Collector's taking possession of the land, by reason of severing such land from his other land;

fourthly the damage (if any) sustained by the person interested, at the time of the Collector's taking possession of the land, by reason of the acquisition injuriously affecting his other property, movable or immovable, in any other manner, or his earnings;

fifthly if, in consequence of the acquisition of the land by the Collector, the person interested is compelled to change his residence or place of business, the reasonable expenses (if any) incidental to such change, and

sixthly the damage (if any) bona fide resulting from diminution of the profits of the land between the time of the publication of the declaration under Section 6 and the time of the Collector's taking possession of the land.

(1-A) In addition to the market-value of the land, as above

provided, the Court shall in every case award an amount calculated at the rate of twelve per centum per annum of such market-value for the period commencing on and from the date of the publication of the notification under Section 4, sub-section (1), in respect of such land to the date of the award of the Collector or the date of taking possession of the land, whichever is earlier.

Explanation.—In computing the period referred to in this sub-section, any period or periods during which the proceedings for the acquisition of the land were held up on account of any stay or injunction by the order of any court shall be excluded.

(2) In addition to the market-value of the land, as above provided, the Court shall in every case award a sum of [thirty per centum] on such market-value, in consideration of the compulsory nature of the acquisition.

24. Matters to be neglected in determining compensation

-But the Court shall not take into consideration—

first, the degree of urgency which has led to the acquisition;

secondly, any disinclination of the person interested to part with the land acquired;

thirdly, any damage sustained by him, which, if caused by a private person, would not render such person liable to a suit;

fourthly, any damage which is likely to be caused to the land acquired, after the date of the publication of the declaration under Section 6, by or in consequence of the use to which it will

be put;

fifthly, any increase to the value of the land acquired likely to accrue from the use to which it will be put when acquired;

sixthly, any increase to the value of the other land of the person interested likely to accrue from the use to which the land acquired will be put;

seventhly, any outlay or improvements on, or disposal of, the land acquired, commenced, made or effected without the sanction of the Collector after the date of the publication of the [notification under Section 4, sub-section (1); or

eighthly, any increase to the value of the land on account of its being put to any use which is forbidden by law or opposed to public policy.

25. Amount of compensation awarded by court not to be lower than the amount award by the Collector - The amount of compensation awarded by the Court shall not be less than the amount awarded by the Collector under Section 11.”

5.2 On a careful scanning of the aforesaid provisions, it becomes crystal clear that while determining the market value, the matters which are required to be considered have been enlisted in Section 23 of the 1894 Act whereas the matters which are to be ignored are enlisted in Section 24 of the 1894 Act. This Court, while interpreting the provisions in ***Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation v. Kulbir and Others (Regular First Appeal No. 4163 of 2017, decided on 01.09.2017)***

has observed as under:-

“4.5 It is apparent from the reading of the first part of Section 23 of the 1894 Act that the market value of the land is required to be determined on the date of publication of the notification under Section 4 (1) of 1894 Act. Hence, the crucial date for determining the market value is 09.06.2006. No further guidelines for assessment of the amount have been provided in the 1894 Act. Sub-section 1-A of section 23 of the 1894 Act provides that while determining the amount of compensation to be awarded for the land acquired, the court apart from the market value of the land, is also required to award an amount calculated at the rate of 12% per annum at such market value for the period commencing on and from the date of publication of notification under Section 4 (1) of the 1894 Act till the date of award passed by the Collector or till the date of taking possession of the land, whichever is earlier. Under sub-section 2 of section 23 of the 1894 Act, the court, in addition to the market value of the land, is required to award a sum of 30% on such market value towards compulsory nature of the acquisition. Section 24 of the 1894 Act enlists the various factors which are required to be ignored while determining the market value. Section 25 of the 1894 Act provides that the court shall not award the amount of compensation which is lower than the amount awarded by the Collector.

4.6 It is apparent from the reading of the aforesaid statutory provisions that while determining the market value of the

acquired land, the court is required to examine the existing geographical location of the acquired land apart from its existing and potential use. The Court is also required to examine as to whether the acquired land has proximity to the National Highway or the State Highway Road or any developed area. The market value of the other land situated in the same locality/area or adjacent to or very near to the acquired land can also be taken into consideration by the Court. While assessing the market value, the Court is required to see as to what would be the price on which a willing seller would sell the land to a willing purchaser. While assessing such compensation, one of the method is to assess the market value by comparable sale method i.e. by referring to contemporaneous transactions.

4.7 While adjudicating the market value of the acquired land, the Courts are expected to award “just” and “appropriate” amount on the basis of the material available on record. The Court is not expected to distribute the public money with largesse. It is the duty of the Court to maintain a proper equilibrium between the interest of the parties and the public interest, in general. If the Courts lean in favour of the landowners, the government or the allottees are likely to be unnecessarily overburdened and it will result in distributing the public money without limits thereby impacting the public interest, at large whereas if the courts are inclined towards the

government, it can result in undermining of just claims. Therefore, a proper balance has to be drawn guided by the facts of case and to preserve the public interest and the public resources, as a whole”.

5.3 Apart from the sale deeds, the owners have also relied upon certain judgments of various Courts assessing the amount of market value with respect to the acquisition of land in the area. Firstly, it would be appropriate to notice the aforesaid judgments/awards passed by the Courts and the LAC. With respect to the acquisition of the land measuring 19 kanals and 17 marlas in village Rudh vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act dated 08.07.2004, the Reference Court assessed the market value of the land @ ₹24,36,000/- per acre. However, the village Rudh is not a part of this acquisition. Furthermore, the owners have failed to lead any evidence to prove that the land at village Rudh is identically situated as the land in the present case or as to the factors which show parity in the price of the land in these two villages. Such assessments can be relied upon by the Court only if parity is established in every sphere by leading evidence. Once there exists numerous sale exemplars, it is not appropriate to solely rely upon an assessment by the Court, particularly when the evidence to prove parity in every sphere is lacking.

5.4 The next judgment relied upon by the land owners is in ***Ashrafi and Others v. State of Haryana and Others (2013) 5 SCC 527***. This judgment has been relied upon to impress that the cut applied by the reference court is excessive. It should be only 1/3rd and not 50%. The next

judgment relied upon by the owners is in ***Regular First Appeal No. 207 of***

2006 decided on 21.01.2016 (Kishan and Others v. State of Haryana and Others and other connected cases). In this case, vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act dated 18.05.1999, 373 kanals and 18 marlas of land located in village Asalwas was acquired which is one of the villages involved in these appeals. The Court has assessed the market value of the land @ ₹12,37,840/- per acre. Apart from that, reliance is also placed upon the award No. 9 dated 04.12.2014 relating to the acquisition of the land in village Deodhi vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act dated 14.02.2011. The LAC had assessed the market value of the land @ ₹70,67,138/- The award, in the last mentioned case, was passed under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as “the 2013 Act”) which came to be enforced w.e.f. 01.01.2014. The land located in village Deodhi is not a part of the present acquisition and the evidence to prove that the land of village Deodhi is comparable with the acquired land is not available. Further, once the sale exemplars of the land situated in the same village are available, it is not appropriate to rely upon the assessment made by the Collector with respect to another village.

5.5 The owners have further relied upon the decision of the High Court in *Executive Engineer/Construction, Northern Railway Rohtak v. Mamchand and Others (Regular First Appeal No. 2189 of 2012, decided on 13.05.2016)*. Acquisition of the land in the aforesaid case was initiated on 28.06.2007 by issuing a notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act to acquire the land in the villages of Palhawas, Chandanwas and Rohrai. The

Court has assessed the market value of the land @ ₹70,40,000/- per acre.

However, the land of these villages is not a part of the acquisition in question. The owners have also relied upon the award No. 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of 30.11.2016, respectively, issued by the Competent Authority under the National Highways Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1956 Act”). In all these cases, the notification under Section 3A of the 1956 Act was issued on 10.09.2008 and the Competent Authority under the 1956 Act has assessed the market value of the acquired land between ₹1,00,00,000/- per acre to ₹1,23,33,300/- per acre. However, this acquisition is approximately 3 years after the issuance of the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act in the present case. They have also relied upon the judgment passed by the High Court in *Nand Ram and Others v. State of Haryana and Others* (*Regular First Appeal No. 3541 of 2006 decided on 14.09.2010*), wherein vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act dated 11.12.1995, the land situated in the villages of Chirhara, Jaliawas, Suthani, Asalwas, Suthana and Jalalpur was acquired. The matter was finally determined by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *HSI IDC v. Nand Ram and Others* (*Civil Appeal No. 9553 of 2011, decided on 26.11.2014*) wherein while resorting to the determination made by the Reference Court, the Court held that the owners shall be entitled to ₹8,00,000/- per acre upto the depth of 500 yards from the national highway, whereas the owners on the remaining land were held entitled to the market value of the land @ ₹6,00,000/- per acre. In the absence of any other evidence, the court may have attempted to assess the market value on the basis of the assessment made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Nand Ram's case* (*supra*) by applying appropriate increase for

market value never increases constantly and consistently. The increase of price of land is never in a straight line and there can never be a constant and uniform increase in the value every year. However, once the parties have produced sale deeds of contemporaneous period which are considered sufficient for the court to arrive at a conclusion with a reasonable certainty, then it is not appropriate to rely upon such assessment made way back in the year 1995 i.e. ten years prior to the acquisition in the present case.

5.6 Reliance is also placed upon the judgment passed by the Reference Court on 09.02.2011 while assessing the market value of the land as on 06.01.2006. The Court has assessed the market value of the land @ ₹1,700/- square yard with respect to the land which was utilized for developing Sector 6 and 7 by the Haryana Urban Development Authority, Rewari. The owners have failed to bring any evidence to prove its comparative location with the acquired land in the present case. They have also relied upon the judgment passed by the Additional District Judge on 21.01.2012 when the acquisition of land in village Dhailwas was initiated by issuing the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act on 27.01.2003. The Reference Court had assessed the market value of the land @ ₹ 20,00,000/- per acre. However, no evidence to prove its comparative location viz-a-viz the acquired land has been led. They have also relied upon a judgment passed by the Reference Court on 25.11.2009 with respect to the acquisition of the land within the municipal limits of Rewari vide notification dated 24.12.2002. The Reference Court had assessed the market value of the land @ ₹1,700/- per square yard. But again, the owners have failed to prove that the acquired land in the present case is identically situated with the land

acquired in that case. The next judgment relied upon by the owners is the award of the Reference Court dated 26.02.2013 with respect to the acquisition of the land in the Rewari City vide notification dated 21.08.2003 wherein the Reference Court had determined the market value of the land @ ₹1,650/- per square yard. The Reference Court, in the present case, has not relied upon the aforesaid document as no evidence to compare the location of the acquired land in the present case and the acquired land in the aforesaid case was led.

5.7 The next judgment relied upon by the owners is with respect to the acquired land located in village Lisana vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act issued on 06.11.2006. The Reference Court vide judgment dated 11.08.2010 assessed the market value of the land @ ₹20,00,000/- per acre. The village Lisana is not a part of the present acquisition and the owners have again failed to lead any evidence to prove its comparative location. They have further relied upon the award No. 31/R of 2006-07 with respect to acquisition of land in the village Chandpur vide notification dated 27.01.2003 under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. The LAC had assessed the amount @ ₹12,50,000/- per acre.

5.8 Furthermore, the land owners have relied upon award No. 23 of the year 2006-07 with respect to the acquisition of 299.46 acres of land in village Rewari. The acquisition was initiated vide notification dated 06.01.2006 under Section 4 of the 1894 Act of the 1894 Act. The LAC had assessed the market value @ ₹12,50,000/- per acre. Additionally, the land owners have also relied upon the award No. 31 of the year 2006-07

requiring 95.36 acres of land located in the village Chandpur and the

notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act, in this regard, was issued on 27.01.2003. The LAC had assessed the market value @ ₹12,50,000/- per acre. The land owners have also relied upon award No. 32 of the year 2006-07 with respect to the acquisition of the land measuring 103.90 acres of land in the village Piwra and the relevant notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act was issued on 27.01.2003. The LAC had assessed the market value @ ₹12,50,000/- per acre. The owners have also relied upon the judgment passed by the Reference Court on 30.09.2009 with respect to the acquisition of the land in the village Padiawas. The acquisition was initiated vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act dated 21.07.2003 and was completed vide an award No. 35 by the LAC. However, the village Padiawas is not a part of the present acquisition and there is no evidence on record to prove its comparative location. Hence, the determination made by the various Courts and the assessment made by the Land Acquisition Collector in all the cases referred to above does not help the Court to determine the market value of the acquired land in the present appeals. This Bench endeavoured to take into consideration the awards passed by the authorities under the 1956 Act in the year 2000 while making an attempt to impose an appropriate cut. However, from a glance at item No. 33 in Table “A”, it is apparent that the land measuring 4 kanals and 3 marlas located in village Karnawas has been sold @ ₹ 5,71,000/-. The average price comes to ₹11,00,722/-. This sale deed is approximately after a period of two years from the date of notification issued under Section 4 of the 1894 Act in the present case. This is an indication that the prices of the agricultural land did

5.9 Furthermore, it is evident that there is no prohibition for the Court to take into consideration the sale deeds depicting the price lower than the amount assessed by the LAC. Section 25 of the 1894 Act only debars the Court to award an amount lower than the amount awarded by the Collector (*Lal Chand v. Union of India and Another (2009)5 SCC 769*). Thus, the Additional District Judge has erred in refusing to take into consideration the sale exemplars produced by the State.

5.10 The Reference Court has also committed an error in taking into consideration the sale deeds at item No. 16 and 28 to 31 while assessing the market value of the acquired land. The land in all these sale deeds is not agricultural in nature. It is evident on a careful perusal of Table “A” that the sale deed at item No. 16 is only with respect to 15 marlas of land and the sale deeds from item No. 29 to 31 are also of land measuring less than 1 kanal. The sale deed at item No. 28 is with regard to a residential plot, although of a reasonable size. The Reference Court, after taking the average of these six sale deeds has chosen to apply a cut to the extent of 15% as development expenses and thus, arrived at a conclusion that the market value is @ ₹19,30,491/-. It is apparent that the Reference Court has ignored the sale deed of the agricultural land at item No. 2 and 33 of Table “A” and the sale deeds produced by the HSIIDC in Table “B”.

5.11 Furthermore, while assessing the market value of the agricultural land measuring more than 1000 acres, the Court cannot be expected to determine the market value on the basis of the sale exemplars of the non-agricultural land like commercial and residential plots of very small

upon such sale exemplars after finding that there is no comparable sale exemplar. However, in the present case, the sale exemplars of the agricultural land with regard to the period immediately prior to the issuance of the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act are available. Thus, the Reference Court committed an error while relying upon the sale deeds of the residential plots of smaller sizes and ignoring the sale deeds of the agricultural land. A marla of land is 1/160th part of an acre of land. The Reference Court has also overlooked that the land owners of village Suthani did produce Ex.P5/B, a sale deed dated 29.08.2005 (at item No. 2 in Table “A”) with respect to the land measuring 4 kanals and 15 marlas for a sale consideration of ₹7,15,000/-. The per acre price works out to ₹12,04,210/-. In fact, the land owners have produced the sale deed noted at item No. 2 and 33 in Table “A” with respect to the sale of the agricultural land before the issuance of the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. The remaining sale deeds are with respect to land for residential or commercial purposes. The sale deed dated 04.07.2003 (Ex.PW.5/A at item No. 36 in Table “A”) is with respect to the land measuring 16 kanals, located in village Jaliawas, which is an industrial plot sold along with the building for a total consideration of ₹ 27,70,502/-. The average price works out to ₹13,85,251/- per acre. M/s Mahavir Foods has sold the existing industry along with the building. Thus, the aforesaid sale deed cannot be relied upon to determine the market value of the agricultural land. At this stage, it is important to examine the sale exemplars at item No. 28 in Table “A”. This sale deed is with respect to a residential plot measuring 2 kanals. Hence, this cannot be

owners have also produced the sale deeds dated 10.04.2008 (Item No. 38 in table A), 12.12.2007 (item No. 39 in table A) and 16.11.2007 (item No. 40 in table A). These are with respect to the land measuring 85 kanals 16 marlas, 32 kanals 04 marlas and 58 kanals 15 marlas, respectively. However, these sale exemplars cannot be relied upon as these have been executed subsequent to the date of issuance of the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act in the present case and even the land alleged to be sold is located in village Deodhai. No evidence has been led to prove the comparative location of the land situated in village Deodhai with the acquired land.

5.12 The HSIIDC has produced copies of various sale deeds of the agricultural land/plots of various sizes. From a careful examination of the information compiled in the tabulated form, it is apparent that in item No.24 of Table “B”, the sale is of nearly 4 acres of land. The land has been sold at the rate of ₹2,98,013/- per acre in village Bawal. Vide sale deeds dated 14.03.2005 (item No. 11) and 13.06.2005 (item No. 27), the land has been sold on an average price ranging between ₹10,00,000/- to ₹11,00,000/-. Apart therefrom, there is a sale of the land measuring 2 acres in village Suthani on 15.04.2003 (item No. 41 in Table “B”) for a sum of ₹8,00,000/-, the average price per acre comes to ₹4,00,000/- only. Even if the Court ignores the sale deeds of smaller plots of agricultural land produced by the HSIIDC and relies upon the sale deed depicting the highest sale price, it is still nowhere comparable to the amount awarded by the Reference Court. The LAC has assessed the market value of the land @ ₹12,50,000/- per acre.

It is important to note that the official of the HSIIDC i.e. Ashok Kumar, who appeared as RW.2 in the case arising from the village Bawal, has admitted

that the HSIIDC has already developed some part of the land in 9 villages i.e. Chirhara, Suthana, Suthani, Jaliawas, Asalwas, Banipur, Jalalpur, Rudh, Patukhera in the year 1992, 1995, 2005 and 2008, respectively, on both the sides of the NH-8. The plots have already been allotted to the industries and the factories have been built and have started operating. Despite this, the market value of the land did not show any upward trend. Moreover, the Court is required to determine the market value of the land on the basis of the evidence produced. The Court is required to look for comparable sale transactions while assessing the market value on the basis of contemporaneous sale transactions. Once the Court is called upon to assess the market value of an agricultural land and the comparable sales transactions of agricultural land are available, it is not appropriate to rely upon the sale deeds of the residential or the commercial plots of minuscule sizes.

5.13 The learned counsel representing the land owners have heavily relied upon the allotment of plot measuring 3456 square meters as a hotel site for ₹15,50,00,000/-. However, it has come in the evidence, which is not disputed by the land owners, that the aforesaid allotment was cancelled and the plot was re-transferred vide order dated 19.04.2012 as the allottee failed to pay the amount. Furthermore, a hotel site in a developed area, which is expected to be located in a prime area, cannot be relied upon to assess the market value of an agricultural land.

5.14 The learned counsel representing the land owners have also drawn the attention of the Court to the assessment of the market value of the

land by the various Reference Courts. It would be noted here that the ideal

judicial determination of the market value of the land is by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Nand Ram's case (supra)*. In the aforesaid case, the Court assessed the market value of the land of the same villages as on 11.12.1995 @ ₹6,00,000/- per acre. The learned counsels have submitted that the market value of the land should be arrived at by adding an increase of 12% per year. In the absence of other sale exemplars of contemporaneous period, the Court may be required to resort to the aforesaid method. However, as already noticed, in the present case, in the year 2005 itself, there are at least three sale deeds of approximately 4 acres of land showing that the sale price has been revolving around ₹10,00,000/- per acre. In such a situation, it is not prudent for the Court to assess the market value by overlooking the direct evidence, while resorting to an assessment of the market value based upon assumptions.

5.15 Moreover, the oral evidence led by the parties, at the most, prove that the acquired land has the potential to be used for industrial purposes, however, this in itself is not sufficient to arrive at the market value of the acquired land. Once, the sale deeds of the comparable land are available, the oral evidence cannot be relied upon to determine the market value. The parties have also produced the various site plans/layout plans but they are of no significance. No effort has been made to depict/identify the location of the plots represented by various sale deeds viz-a-viz the acquired land. The parties ought to have produced a comprehensive layout plan to enable the Court to compare the location of the various pieces of land sold by the sale deeds produced in the evidence and compare the same.

counsels representing the land owners. The first judgment is *Major General Kapil Mehra's case (supra)*. In the aforesaid case, 12 bighas land in Vasant Kunj, Delhi, was sought to be acquired for developing Vasant Kunj. The Court, after finding that the comparable sale deeds are of smaller plots when compared to the extent of land sought to be acquired, directed that 35% deduction towards the development shall be appropriate to arrive at the market value of the acquired land. The relevant discussion is in para 29 to 41 of the SCC Report. In the present case, once the comparable sale deeds of contemporaneous period are available with respect to the agricultural land, then in the considered view of this Court, it is not appropriate to rely upon the sale deeds of the smaller plots which are being sold and purchased for residential and commercial purposes. In the present case, the Court is called upon to determine the market value of a land which is agricultural in nature and was being used for agricultural purposes.

5.17 As regards the judgment in *M.K. Rafiq Saheb's case (supra)*, it is noted that the Supreme Court applied a 60% cut, after noticing that the price is required to be determined by comparable sales method, while relying upon the plots of a smaller area. In the aforesaid case, the Court found that the comparable sale deeds, of pieces of a land of a reasonable size, were not available. In those facts, the Court applied deduction to the extent of 60%. However, as already noticed, in the present case, a large number of sale exemplars have been produced including that of an agricultural land. Hence, with highest respect, the aforesaid judgments, relied upon by the learned counsel representing the land owners, are not applicable.

5.18 At the time of hearing, the learned counsel representing the HSIIDC was requested to inform the Court as to whether the enhanced payment has been made to the landowners or not. The learned senior counsel has informed the Court that the landowners have already been paid @ ₹16,00,000/- per acre. It is left to the State to take a call on the question whether it intends to recover the excess amount from the farmers or not. By now, the HSIIDC might have passed on the burden of the amount of acquisition on the allottees of the industrial plots. In such an eventuality, it may not appropriate for the HSIIDC to unjustly enrich itself.

5.19 Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this court finds that the Reference Court has assessed the market value of the land without appreciating the evidence in a proper perspective and therefore, all the reference applications are required to be dismissed.

5.20 In view of the discussion made in the foregoing paragraphs, the appeals filed by the HSIIDC are allowed whereas that of the land owners are hereby dismissed.

5.21 The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

September 23, 2021
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party Name
1.	RFA - 4410 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HARKESH AND OTHERS
2.	RFA - 13694 - 2018	SHUBH RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

3.	RFA - 1462 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS
4.	RFA - 4961 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ISHWAR SINGH & OTHERS
5.	RFA - 4436 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KARAN SINGH & OTHERS
6.	RFA - 4400 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ATTAR SINGH AND OTHERS
7.	RFA - 296 - 2019	SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
8.	RFA - 1455 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S MANGTIYA @ MANGTU RAM AND OTHERS
9.	RFA - 13699 - 2018	HOSHIYAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
10.	RFA - 4916 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S PHOOL SINGH & OTHERS
11.	RFA - 13708 - 2018	MAM CHAND (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
12.	RFA - 13706 - 2018	HARKESH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
13.	RFA - 4929 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM PAT & OTHERS
14.	RFA - 1456 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS
15.	RFA - 4940 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ABHEY SINGH & OTHERS
16.	RFA - 13690 - 2018	SUMER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
17.	RFA - 1485 - 2018	RAMPAT (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
18.	RFA - 4437 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURENDER & OTHERS
19.	RFA - 1453 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. SAVITRI AND OTHERS
20.	RFA - 4434 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RATI RAM & OTHERS
21.	RFA - 13712 - 2018	ROSHNI AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
22.	RFA - 1446 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAMPAT AND OTHERS
23.	RFA - 301 - 2019	PHOOL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
24.	RFA - 4906 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. KULWATI (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS
25.	RFA - 4983 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S AMAR SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS & OTHERS
26.	RFA - 1451 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAGHBIR AND OTHERS
27.	RFA - 4420 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS
28.	RFA - 1438 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ISHWAR SINGH AND OTHERS
29.	RFA - 4417 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM KANWAR AND OTHERS
30.	RFA - 4432 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HARKESH AND OTHERS
31.	RFA - 1495 - 2018	RAM KANWAR (SINCE DECEASEAD) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
32.	RFA - 4954 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S THAWARIA & OTHERS
33.	RFA - 4419 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HARPAL SINGH AND OTHERS
34.	RFA - 4920 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ASHOK KUMAR & OTHERS
35.	RFA - 4910 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KARTAR SINGH & OTHERS
36.	RFA - 8489 - 2018	MOHAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
37.	RFA - 1443 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM KANWAR AND OTHERS

38.	RFA - 1440 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SATBIR AND OTHERS
39.	RFA - 4949 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KISHORI LAL & OTHERS
40.	RFA - 4925 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM SINGH & OTHERS
41.	RFA - 4902 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ASHOK KUMAR & OTHERS
42.	RFA - 4411 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
43.	RFA - 4401 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SURAJ BHAN AND OTHERS
44.	RFA - 4912 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM KANWAR & OTHERS
45.	RFA - 293 - 2019	SURAJ BHAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
46.	RFA - 1441 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM SINGH AND OTHERS
47.	RFA - 2066 - 2018	RAGHBIR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
48.	RFA - 4439 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S LEKH RAM & OTHERS
49.	RFA - 13697 - 2018	SHUBH RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
50.	RFA - 13700 - 2018	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
51.	RFA - 4962 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHAMLU (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS
52.	RFA - 4928 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PARTAP SINGH & OTHERS
53.	RFA - 1445 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS
54.	RFA - 4951 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ROOP CHAND & OTHERS
55.	RFA - 256 - 2018	SUBE SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
56.	RFA - 1437 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS
57.	RFA - 320 - 2018	AJIT SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
58.	RFA - 13709 - 2018	MAM CHAND (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
59.	RFA - 4404 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S AMI CHAND AND OTHERS
60.	RFA - 4423 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. BHAGWANI & OTHERS
61.	RFA - 1489 - 2018	SAVITRI AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
62.	RFA - 4922 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ISWAR SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS & OTHERS
63.	RFA - 13701 - 2018	SURAJ BHAN V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
64.	RFA - 4418 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. AMAR KAUR & OTHERS
65.	RFA - 1486 - 2018	SMT. SAVITRI AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
66.	RFA - 1459 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS
67.	RFA - 4930 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM KANWAR & OTHERS
68.	RFA - 4950 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DHARAM SINGH & OTHERS
69.	RFA - 303 - 2019	RAM KUNWAR @ RAM KUMAR SINCE DECEASED AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
70.	RFA - 4415 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S JUG LAL & OTHERS
71.	RFA - 4943 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KARTAR SINGH
72.	RFA - 4416 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ISHWAR SINGH & OTHERS

73.	RFA - 4956 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KARAN SINGH & OTHERS
74.	RFA - 4932 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM PAT & OTHERS
75.	RFA - 4957 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SHRI RAM & OTHERS
76.	RFA - 13717 - 2018	RATTAN SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
77.	RFA - 302 - 2019	ASHOK KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
78.	RFA - 4942 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BIR SINGH & OTHERS
79.	RFA - 300 - 2019	KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
80.	RFA - 4919 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAVI KUMAR & OTHERS
81.	RFA - 4953 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUMER SINGH & OTHERS
82.	RFA - 291 - 2019	ISHWAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
83.	RFA - 4397 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
84.	RFA - 1460 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAJENDER SINGH AND OTHERS
85.	RFA - 3199 - 2019	ASHOK KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
86.	RFA - 4399 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT.SAHIB KAUR AND OTHERS
87.	RFA - 1448 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS
88.	RFA - 4939 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. CHHIMA (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS & OTHERS
89.	RFA - 4414 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KARAN SINGH & OTHERS
90.	RFA - 4977 - 2018	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEV CORP NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEV CORP LTD V/S MAM CHAND & OTHERS
91.	RFA - 13711 - 2018	MAM CHAND (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
92.	RFA - 1897 - 2018	SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS
93.	RFA - 13715 - 2018	SHUBH RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
94.	RFA - 13696 - 2018	RATTI RAM AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
95.	RFA - 866 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S LEKH RAM & OTHERS
96.	RFA - 4915 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM NATH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS & OTHERS
97.	RFA - 13692 - 2018	BHAGOTI DEVI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
98.	RFA - 4948 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBE SINGH & OTHERS
99.	RFA - 13703 - 2018	ATTAR SINGH AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
100.	RFA - 1450 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SH.SITAR SINGH AND OTHERS
101.	RFA - 4923 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S MOHINDER SINGH & OTHERS
102.	RFA - 290 - 2019	SMT. SAHIB KAUR AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
103.	RFA - 13695 - 2018	RAM SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
104.	RFA - 8421 - 2018	JUG LAL AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS. ADITYA SINGH YADAV

105.	RFA - 4421 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SHUBH RAM & OTHERS
106.	RFA - 1457 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BHARAT SINGH AND OTHERS
107.	RFA - 4960 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ROOP CHAND & OTHERS
108.	RFA - 4921 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM KANWAR & OTHERS
109.	RFA - 469 - 2018	MANGTIYA @ MANGU RAM AND ANR. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
110.	RFA - 4407 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BHUPENDER & OTHERS
111.	RFA - 4955 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BUDHA @ BUDH RAM & OTHERS
112.	RFA - 4975 - 2018	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEV CORP NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEV CORP LTD V/S MOHINDER SINGH AND OTHERS
113.	RFA - 13698 - 2018	SURAJ BHAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
114.	RFA - 287 - 2019	MAHAVIR PARSHAD AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
115.	RFA - 4472 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBE SINGH & OTHERS
116.	RFA - 4433 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BUDHA SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS
117.	RFA - 1452 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT.SAVITRI AND OTHERS
118.	RFA - 4429 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS
119.	RFA - 4394 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAMPAT AND OTHERS
120.	RFA - 4412 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
121.	RFA - 4430 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SATBIR AND OTHERS
122.	RFA - 4469 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RATTAN SINGH & OTHERS
123.	RFA - 4958 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. ROSHNI & OTHERS
124.	RFA - 4941 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BIR SINGH & OTHERS
125.	RFA - 1493 - 2018	SUBE SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
126.	RFA - 1458 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS
127.	RFA - 4974 - 2018	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEV CORP NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEV CORP LTD V/S SHUBH RAM & OTHERS
128.	RFA - 4431 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. CHANDRO (SINCE DECEASED) THRO HER LRS AND OTHERS
129.	RFA - 1461 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAVI KUMAR AND OTHERS
130.	RFA - 4435 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SITAR SINGH AND OTHERS
131.	RFA - 4904 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SHUBH RAM & OTHERS
132.	RFA - 4938 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBEDAR & OTHERS
133.	RFA - 292 - 2019	PARTAP SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
134.	RFA - 13714 - 2018	LEKH RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
135.	RFA - 321 - 2018	RAM KANWAR (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
136.	RFA - 13688 - 2018	HARKESH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
137.	RFA - 257 - 2018	LEKH RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
138.	RFA - 4471 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURENDER SINGH & OTHERS

139.	RFA - 1454 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. VIJAY KUMARI AND OTHERS
140.	RFA - 13707 - 2018	ASHOK KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
141.	RFA - 13716 - 2018	RATTAN SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
142.	RFA - 4911 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. KALAWATI (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS
143.	RFA - 13702 - 2018	THAWARIA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
144.	RFA - 4395 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
145.	RFA - 295 - 2019	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
146.	RFA - 4413 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
147.	RFA - 13704 - 2018	SHAMLU (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
148.	RFA - 13689 - 2018	THAWARIA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
149.	RFA - 4937 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. BHAGWANI & OTHERS
150.	RFA - 4934 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HOSHIYAR SINGH & OTHERS
151.	RFA - 1449 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HARPAL SINGH AND OTHERS
152.	RFA - 4914 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S PARTAP SINGH & OTHERS
153.	RFA - 4963 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DHARMA PAL & OTHERS
154.	RFA - 4403 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAMESHWAR DAYAL @ MANGE & OTHERS
155.	RFA - 1444 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS
156.	RFA - 1488 - 2018	BHARAT SINGH AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
157.	RFA - 298 - 2019	RAM PAT SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
158.	RFA - 13713 - 2018	SUBE SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
159.	RFA - 4976 - 2018	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEV CORP NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEV CORP LTD V/S MAHAVIR PARSHAD & OTHERS
160.	RFA - 867 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBE SINGH & OTHERS
161.	RFA - 4959 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S AMAR SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS
162.	RFA - 4396 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
163.	RFA - 4426 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S THAWARIA & OTHERS
164.	RFA - 4964 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HAR LAL & OTHERS
165.	RFA - 4909 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SHUBH RAM & OTHERS.
166.	RFA - 4918 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DHARAM SINGH & OTHERS
167.	RFA - 8400 - 2018	RAM PAT V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
168.	RFA - 4409 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS.
169.	RFA - 4905 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ISHWAR SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS & OTHERS
170.	RFA - 1439 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM KANWAR AND OTHERS
171.	RFA - 13710 - 2018	ASHOK KUMAR AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

172.	RFA - 1442 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM KANWAR AND OTHERS
173.	RFA - 1410 - 2019	SATBIR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
174.	RFA - 4408 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MOHAN & OTHERS
175.	RFA - 4901 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S JUG LAL & OTHERS
176.	RFA - 4428 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SURAJ BHAN & OTHERS
177.	RFA - 4947 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HUKAM SINGH & OTHERS
178.	RFA - 8401 - 2018	RAM PAT V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
179.	RFA - 4981 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMPAL & OTHERS
180.	RFA - 1447 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SUBE SINGH AND OTHERS
181.	RFA - 4425 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PYARE LAL & OTHERS
182.	RFA - 4944 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ISHWAR SINGH & OTHERS
183.	RFA - 4927 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM KANWAR & OTHERS
184.	RFA - 4945 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. BHAGWANI & OTHERS
185.	RFA - 4903 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GRAM PANCHYAT VILLAGE BENIPUR & OTHERS
186.	RFA - 8420 - 2018	JUG LAL AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
187.	RFA - 4427 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MAHAVIR PARSHAD & OTHERS
188.	RFA - 8402 - 2018	RAM PAT V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
189.	RFA - 4931 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MAM CHAND & OTHERS
190.	RFA - 2123 - 2018	SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS
191.	RFA - 299 - 2019	MOHINDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
192.	RFA - 4952 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ABHEY SINGH & OTHERS
193.	RFA - 4470 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RATTAN SINGH & OTHERS
194.	RFA - 4933 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ROOP CHAND & OTHERS
195.	RFA - 468 - 2018	ISHWAR SINGH AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
196.	RFA - 4438 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAJINDER & OTHERS
197.	RFA - 4422 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KISHORI LAL & OTHERS
198.	RFA - 4936 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAJ SINGH & OTHERS
199.	RFA - 13691 - 2018	SURAJ BHAN V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
200.	RFA - 4913 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHUPENDER & OTHERS
201.	RFA - 2128 - 2018	SUBEDAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
202.	RFA - 4907 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ASHOK KUMAR & OTHERS
203.	RFA - 4935 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ROOP CHAND & OTHERS
204.	RFA - 4424 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S OM PARKASH AND OTHERS
205.	RFA - 13693 - 2018	SURAJ BHAN V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
206.	RFA - 4926 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MAM CHAND & OTHERS
207.	RFA - 297 - 2019	DHARAM SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
208.	RFA - 13705 - 2018	RAMESHWAR DAYAL @ MANGE & ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
209.	RFA - 1494 - 2018	RAM KANWAR (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS

		AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
210.	RFA - 310 - 2019	SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
211.	RFA - 340 - 2020	BUDHA ALIAS BUDH RAM THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
212.	RFA - 96 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ BHAN AND OTHERS
213.	RFA - 342 - 2020	ISHWAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
214.	RFA - 311 - 2019	SMT. BHAGWANI (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
215.	RFA - 312 - 2019	SMT. BHAGWANI (SINCE DECEASED) AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
216.	RFA - 343 - 2020	RAJINDER (SINCE DECEASED) THORUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
217.	RFA - 4946 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BHARAT SINGH & OTHERS
218.	RFA - 1411 - 2019	SATBIR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
219.	RFA - 309 - 2019	ROOP CHAND (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
220.	RFA - 370 - 2020	SUBE SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
221.	RFA - 99 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RAM SINGH AND OTHERS
222.	RFA - 339 - 2020	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
223.	RFA - 423 - 2020	SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
224.	RFA - 316 - 2019	KARAN SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
225.	RFA - 306 - 2019	KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
226.	RFA - 374 - 2020	ROOP CHAND THROUGH HIS LR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
227.	RFA - 313 - 2019	DHARAM PAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
228.	RFA - 424 - 2020	ABHEY SINGH THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
229.	RFA - 308 - 2019	SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
230.	RFA - 375 - 2020	SURAJ BHAN AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
231.	RFA - 377 - 2020	ROOP CHAND THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
232.	RFA - 315 - 2019	RAM KUNWAR @ RAM KUMAR SINCE DECEASED AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
233.	RFA - 118 - 2021	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED V/S RAMESHWAR DAYAL SINCE DECEASEDTHROUGH LRS AND OTHERS
234.	RFA - 317 - 2019	AMI CHAND SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
235.	RFA - 305 - 2019	MOHINDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

236.	RFA - 2161 - 2019	RAM NATH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
237.	RFA - 341 - 2020	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
238.	RFA - 373 - 2020	ROOP CHAND THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
239.	RFA - 4917 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S VIJAY SINGH & OTHERS
240.	RFA - 3498 - 2019	KISHORI LAL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
241.	RFA - 318 - 2019	ISHWAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
242.	RFA - 307 - 2019	HUKAM SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
243.	RFA - 319 - 2019	PYARE LAL (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH DISTRICT COLLECTOR, REWARI
244.	RFA - 304 - 2019	VIJAY SINGH SINCE DECEASED THRU HIS LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
245.	RFA - 338 - 2020	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
246.	RFA - 314 - 2019	KISHORI LAL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
247.	RFA - 1639 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ROHTASH AND OTHERS
248.	RFA - 2275 - 2018	BALWANT SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
249.	RFA - 3688 - 2018	RAM PAL AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
250.	RFA - 3642 - 2018	SATBIR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
251.	RFA - 1600 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S MAHESH KUMAR AND OTHERS
252.	RFA - 289 - 2019	DARA SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
253.	RFA - 1619 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ARJAN AND OTHERS.
254.	RFA - 219 - 2018	DALIP AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
255.	RFA - 1581 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S PAT RAM AND OTHERS
256.	RFA - 1635 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SATBIR AND OTHERS
257.	RFA - 3686 - 2018	GHEESA RAM (SINCE DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
258.	RFA - 1595 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S CHANDGI AND OTHERS
259.	RFA - 3107 - 2019	PARTAP (NOW DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
260.	RFA - 1613 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BALU RAM & OTHERS
261.	RFA - 1636 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DALIP & OTHERS
262.	RFA - 2063 - 2018	SEDU SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
263.	RFA - 2127 - 2018	SEDU SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
264.	RFA - 3470 - 2018	JAI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
265.	RFA - 1625 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAGHBIR AND OTHERS

266.	RFA - 1628 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PURNA AND OTHERS
267.	RFA - 3386 - 2018	KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
268.	RFA - 2277 - 2018	KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
269.	RFA - 3693 - 2018	MANGE RAM AND ANR. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
270.	RFA - 1641 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ABHEY SINGH AND OTHERS
271.	RFA - 1590 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS
272.	RFA - 1589 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S JAI BHAGWAN (MINOR) & OTHERS.
273.	RFA - 1601 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S CHANDGI RAM AND OTHERS
274.	RFA - 1631 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SURAJ BHAN AND OTHERS
275.	RFA - 1612 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RATTAN & OTHERS
276.	RFA - 1648 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHEERIYA AND OTHERS
277.	RFA - 3388 - 2018	SURJA (NOW DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
278.	RFA - 1632 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHADI RAM AND OTHERS
279.	RFA - 1603 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GOPI CHAND AND OTHERS
280.	RFA - 1618 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KIRPA RAM AND OTHERS
281.	RFA - 1585 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GHEESA RAM AND OTHERS
282.	RFA - 1616 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. CHANDRO DEVI AND OTHERS
283.	RFA - 1604 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. MURTI DEVI AND OTHERS
284.	RFA - 1588 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S THAWAR SINGH AND OTHERS.
285.	RFA - 1615 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM PAL & OTHERS
286.	RFA - 1609 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SURJA AND OTHERS
287.	RFA - 1627 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BIJENDER AND OTHERS
288.	RFA - 1620 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SEDHU AND OTHERS
289.	RFA - 1596 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GRAM PANCHAYAT ASALWAS AND OTHERS.
290.	RFA - 3682 - 2018	SHEO NARAIN (SINCE DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
291.	RFA - 2982 - 2019	SHEERIYA (NOW DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
292.	RFA - 4034 - 2018	BHARAT SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
293.	RFA - 1650 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DHARAMPAL AND OTHERS
294.	RFA - 1629 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GYANI AND OTHERS
295.	RFA - 1645 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SH. BHIM SINGH AND OTHERS
296.	RFA - 1597 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SEDU AND OTHERS

297.	RFA - 1630 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHAJAN LAL AND OTHERS
298.	RFA - 1567 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MALHI AND OTHERS
299.	RFA - 3471 - 2018	SMT. GYANA AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
300.	RFA - 1638 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GHEESA RAM AND OTHERS
301.	RFA - 1610 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHARAT SINGH AND OTHERS.
302.	RFA - 1580 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHEO LAL AND OTHERS.
303.	RFA - 1578 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHEO NARAIN AND OTHERS
304.	RFA - 1622 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S NIHAL SINGH & OTHERS.
305.	RFA - 1566 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHEONARAYAN AND OTHERS
306.	RFA - 3687 - 2018	GHEESA RAM (NOW DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
307.	RFA - 1606 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHAJJU @ BHAJAN LAL AND OTHERS
308.	RFA - 1607 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S NAND LAL AND OTHERS
309.	RFA - 1652 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S LAKHI RAM AND OTHERS
310.	RFA - 1649 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS
311.	RFA - 1602 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PRASADA AND OTHERS
312.	RFA - 1634 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BALWANT SINGH AND OTHERS
313.	RFA - 1582 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MANGE RAM AND OTHERS
314.	RFA - 1579 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHIM SINGH AND OTHERS.
315.	RFA - 1637 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SEDU AND OTHERS
316.	RFA - 1584 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KANWAR SINGH AND OTHERS.
317.	RFA - 1599 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GHEESA RAM AND OTHERS
318.	RFA - 3683 - 2018	RAM CHANDER (SINCE DECEASED) THRU HER LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
319.	RFA - 3685 - 2018	ABHEY SINGH AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
320.	RFA - 1642 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S NATHU RAM AND OTHERS
321.	RFA - 1651 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SEDU AND OTHERS.
322.	RFA - 1626 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S UDAI RAM AND OTHERS
323.	RFA - 1592 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BALLU RAM AND OTHERS
324.	RFA - 1643 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KISHAN AND OTHERS.
325.	RFA - 3371 - 2018	RAM CHANDER (SINCE DECEASED) THRU HER LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
326.	RFA - 1644 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHARATA AND OTHERS
327.	RFA - 1611 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S LAXMI NARAIN AND OTHERS.
328.	RFA - 2563 - 2019	GHEESA RAM (NOW DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
329.	RFA - 3472 - 2018	THAWAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

330.	RFA - 4063 - 2018	NATHU RAM (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
331.	RFA - 3372 - 2018	GOPI CHAND @ GOPI RAM AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
332.	RFA - 1598 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PITARIYA AND OTHERS
333.	RFA - 1605 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. SANTA AND OTHERS
334.	RFA - 1614 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PARTAP AND OTHERS
335.	RFA - 1591 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SULHAR AND OTHERS
336.	RFA - 1594 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS.
337.	RFA - 1583 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S CHANDRO DEVI AND OTHERS
338.	RFA - 1624 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HARI RAM AND OTHERS
339.	RFA - 220 - 2018	KANWAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
340.	RFA - 3684 - 2018	BHRAT SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
341.	RFA - 3689 - 2018	SMT. MURTI DEVI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
342.	RFA - 1587 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM PAL AND OTHERS
343.	RFA - 1647 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. GINDO AND OTHERS
344.	RFA - 4598 - 2018	SULHAR DECEASED THR LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
345.	RFA - 1608 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. LAL KAUR AND OTHERS
346.	RFA - 1593 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMESHWAR DAYAL AND OTHERS.
347.	RFA - 3692 - 2018	SHEO LAL AND ANR. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
348.	RFA - 1586 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. INDRO AND OTHERS
349.	RFA - 3389 - 2018	CHANDGI AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
350.	RFA - 1633 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S LEELA AND OTHERS
351.	RFA - 3370 - 2018	CHANDGI RAM AND ANR. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
352.	RFA - 1617 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHARAT SINGH AND OTHERS
353.	RFA - 1653 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PARTAP AND OTHERS
354.	RFA - 2439 - 2019	ROHTASH (NOW DECEASED) THRU LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
355.	RFA - 1646 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS
356.	RFA - 1621 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KHADDU RAM AND OTHERS.
357.	RFA - 3695 - 2018	UDAI RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
358.	RFA - 1623 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HUKAM CHAND AND OTHERS.
359.	RFA - 871 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMPHOOL AND OTHERS
360.	RFA - 4336 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S JAI PAL SINGH AND OTHERS
361.	RFA - 906 - 2021	RAM PHOOL AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND

		OTHERS
362.	RFA - 870 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S MANGE RAM AND OTHERS
363.	RFA - 117 - 2021	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED V/S SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS
364.	RFA - 294 - 2019	OM PARKASH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
365.	RFA - 103 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S OM PARKASH AND OTHERS
366.	RFA - 8493 - 2018	JAGDISH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
367.	RFA - 108 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S BHOOP SINGH AND OTHERS
368.	RFA - 4378 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAGHBIR SINGH AND OTHERS.
369.	RFA - 4389 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUKH RAM AND OTHERS.
370.	RFA - 13737 - 2018	RAMSARUP DECEASED THRU HIS LRS AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
371.	RFA - 905 - 2021	RAGHBIR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
372.	RFA - 909 - 2021	SURAJ MAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
373.	RFA - 907 - 2021	BALBIR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
374.	RFA - 113 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S SUBH RAM AND OTHERS
375.	RFA - 112 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S HARI RAM AND OTHERS
376.	RFA - 4385 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DEVI SINGH AND OTHERS
377.	RFA - 1806 - 2018	MANGE RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
378.	RFA - 1032 - 2021	RAJ KARAN V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
379.	RFA - 4391 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUKH RAM AND OTHERS.
380.	RFA - 4373 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BALBIR AND OTHERS.
381.	RFA - 4382 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM DEVA AND OTHERS.
382.	RFA - 1033 - 2021	RAM DEVA V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
383.	RFA - 908 - 2021	NARENDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
384.	RFA - 104 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S NATHU RAM AND OTHERS
385.	RFA - 4392 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DEVI SINGH AND OTHERS.
386.	RFA - 106 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RAM CHANDER DECESED THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS
387.	RFA - 4371 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUBE SINGH AND OTHERS.
388.	RFA - 4375 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM PHOOL AND OTHERS.
389.	RFA - 8488 - 2018	HARI RAM (DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
390.	RFA - 109 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S SMT. SAMAKAUR AND OTHERS
391.	RFA - 4379 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SURAJ MAL AND OTHERS.

392.	RFA - 110 - 2021	HSI IDC V/S AMI LAL AND OTHERS
393.	RFA - 4338 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. ACHHNO DEVI AND OTHERS
394.	RFA - 4387 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS.
395.	RFA - 111 - 2021	HSI IDC V/S MAM CHAND AND OTHERS
396.	RFA - 4386 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PARKASH AND OTHERS.
397.	RFA - 114 - 2021	HSI IDC V/S SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS
398.	RFA - 102 - 2021	HSI IDC V/S SUBH RAM AND OTHERS
399.	RFA - 8490 - 2018	SARJIWAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
400.	RFA - 8491 - 2018	RAM SINGH (NOW DECEASED) THRU LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
401.	RFA - 4388 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM SINGH & OTHERS
402.	RFA - 869 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DAULAT RAM AND OTHERS
403.	RFA - 4393 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAJ KARAN & OTHERS
404.	RFA - 115 - 2021	HSI IDC V/S HOSHIAR SINGH AND OTHERS
405.	RFA - 720 - 2019	KAMLA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA, THROUGH ITS COLLECTOR AND OTHERS
406.	RFA - 4337 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMPAT AND OTHERS.
407.	RFA - 4377 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HARI RAM AND OTHERS.
408.	RFA - 4383 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S JAGDISH AND OTHERS
409.	RFA - 4644 - 2018	MEGH SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
410.	RFA - 4380 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMSARUP AND OTHERS.
411.	RFA - 4342 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SANJAY AND OTHERS.
412.	RFA - 2130 - 2018	KAUSHALYA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
413.	RFA - 4343 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MEGH SINGH & OTHERS
414.	RFA - 4345 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MEGH SINGH AND OTHERS.
415.	RFA - 4384 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. BHAGWATI AND OTHERS
416.	RFA - 4341 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DHARAM SINGH AND OTHERS.
417.	RFA - 288 - 2019	BHOM SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
418.	RFA - 13597 - 2018	SMT. ANARO SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
419.	RFA - 4381 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S OM PARKASH & OTHERS
420.	RFA - 344 - 2020	JAI PAL SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
421.	RFA - 4390 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S NARENDER SINGH & OTHERS
422.	RFA - 1044 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. KAMLA AND OTHERS
423.	RFA - 4370 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GRAM PANCHAYAT SUTHANI AND OTHERS
424.	RFA - 4594 - 2018	KABUL SINGH & OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND

		OTHERS
425.	RFA - 4369 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S GINDORI & OTHERS
426.	RFA - 4344 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S ABHEY SINGH AND OTHERS
427.	RFA - 4663 - 2018	DHARAM SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
428.	RFA - 4346 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KABUL SINGH AND OTHERS.
429.	RFA - 581 - 2018	DAULAT RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
430.	RFA - 4374 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DARA SINGH AND OTHERS.
431.	RFA - 13591 - 2018	MEGH SINGH SINCE DECEASED THU HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
432.	RFA - 4643 - 2018	RAMPAT & OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
433.	RFA - 4339 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SMT. ANARO AND OTHERS.
434.	RFA - 4376 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SARJIWAN AND OTHERS.
435.	RFA - 4340 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BHOM SINGH AND OTHERS.
436.	RFA - 97 - 2021	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED V/S HUKAM SINGH AND OTHERS
437.	RFA - 345 - 2020	KLHUSHAL SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
438.	RFA - 107 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RATTI RAM AND OTHERS
439.	RFA - 120 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S TRILOK CHAND AND OTHERS
440.	RFA - 119 - 2021	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED V/S SMT. SHEELA DEVI AND OTHERS
441.	RFA - 904 - 2021	KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
442.	RFA - 988 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM KANWAR AND OTHERS.
443.	RFA - 462 - 2018	CHET RAM SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
444.	RFA - 2000 - 2018	GUR DAYAL SINCE DECEASED THRUOGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
445.	RFA - 2147 - 2018	MAHENDER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
446.	RFA - 1031 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM KISHORE & OTHERS
447.	RFA - 972 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BHOOP SINGH & OTHERS
448.	RFA - 1032 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S PURAN CHAND & OTHERS
449.	RFA - 976 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KISHORI LAL & OTHERS
450.	RFA - 1027 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S NATHU RAM AND OTHERS.
451.	RFA - 1492 - 2018	DEEP CHAND AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
452.	RFA - 959 - 2018	SITA RAM AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
453.	RFA - 1015 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HUKAM SINGH AND OTHERS.

454.	RFA - 1033 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HUKAM SINGH & OTHERS
455.	RFA - 1040 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MUNSHI RAM AND OTHERS.
456.	RFA - 113 - 2018	RAMESH CHAND AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
457.	RFA - 2061 - 2018	ROSHAN LAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
458.	RFA - 994 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMESHWAR AND OTHERS.
459.	RFA - 1036 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMESHWAR AND OTHERS.
460.	RFA - 1018 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MAKHAN LAL AND OTHERS.
461.	RFA - 1903 - 2018	HUKAM SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
462.	RFA - 2064 - 2018	UMRAO AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
463.	RFA - 1020 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MAKHAN LAL AND OTHERS
464.	RFA - 991 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MAHENDER AND OTHERS
465.	RFA - 1016 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S TEK CHAND @ CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS.
466.	RFA - 1028 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHER BAHADUR AND OTHERS.
467.	RFA - 974 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SUNIL KUMAR & OTHERS
468.	RFA - 990 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HANUMAN AND OTHERS.
469.	RFA - 1042 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PARKASH AND OTHERS.
470.	RFA - 982 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GAIN CHAND AND OTHERS.
471.	RFA - 1019 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S NATHU RAM AND OTHERS.
472.	RFA - 958 - 2018	SITA RAM AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
473.	RFA - 114 - 2018	RAM KISHORE AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
474.	RFA - 5493 - 2017	SMT. KAUSHALYA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
475.	RFA - 989 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GURDAYAL AND OTHERS
476.	RFA - 1014 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S UMRAO AND OTHERS.
477.	RFA - 983 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMJI LAL AND OTHERS.
478.	RFA - 2065 - 2018	HANS RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER
479.	RFA - 997 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S CHET RAM AND OTHERS.
480.	RFA - 1013 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HUKAM SINGH AND OTHERS.
481.	RFA - 1021 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMESHWAR AND OTHERS.
482.	RFA - 957 - 2018	SUNIL KUMAR AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
483.	RFA - 1490 - 2018	KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
484.	RFA - 1491 - 2018	HUKAM SINGH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

485.	RFA - 992 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S JODHA RAM AND OTHERS.
486.	RFA - 1026 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DEEP CHAND AND OTHERS.
487.	RFA - 1010 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GIAN CHAND AND OTHERS.
488.	RFA - 1017 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MATADIN AND OTHERS.
489.	RFA - 1038 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMESH CHAND AND OTHERS.
490.	RFA - 5494 - 2017	KAUSHALYA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
491.	RFA - 1029 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HANS RAM AND OTHERS.
492.	RFA - 3387 - 2018	MUNSHI (NOW DECEASED) THRU LRS AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
493.	RFA - 1039 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHAJAN LAL AND OTHERS.
494.	RFA - 984 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. KAUSHALYA & OTHERS
495.	RFA - 5492 - 2017	UMRAO AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
496.	RFA - 1011 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S UMRAO AND OTHERS.
497.	RFA - 975 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SUNIL KUMAR & OTHERS
498.	RFA - 1022 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SITA RAM AND OTHERS.
499.	RFA - 3463 - 2018	SHISH RAM SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
500.	RFA - 978 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KARTAR SINGH & OTHERS
501.	RFA - 1024 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MEHAR CHAND AND OTHERS.
502.	RFA - 1012 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S UMRAO AND OTHERS.
503.	RFA - 985 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. KAUSHALYA AND OTHERS.
504.	RFA - 1034 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S UMRAO & OTHERS
505.	RFA - 1041 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAMPHAL AND OTHERS.
506.	RFA - 2133 - 2018	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
507.	RFA - 979 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ROOP CHAND & OTHERS
508.	RFA - 466 - 2018	HANUMAN V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
509.	RFA - 996 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S UMRAO AND OTHERS.
510.	RFA - 5556 - 2017	ROOP CHAND (SINCE DECEASED) THR LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
511.	RFA - 980 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ROSHAN LAL & OTHERS
512.	RFA - 993 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHAJAN LAL AND OTHERS.
513.	RFA - 2129 - 2018	MATADIN (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
514.	RFA - 1037 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHRI CHAND AND OTHERS.
515.	RFA - 1030 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RATI RAM AND OTHERS.
516.	RFA - 1043 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GHANSHYAM AND OTHERS.
517.	RFA - 1035 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BHAJAN LAL AND OTHERS

518.	RFA - 2124 - 2018	GIAN CHAND AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
519.	RFA - 2146 - 2018	RATTI RAM (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
520.	RFA - 467 - 2018	JEET RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
521.	RFA - 973 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ROOP CHAND & OTHERS
522.	RFA - 2276 - 2018	KAUSHALYA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
523.	RFA - 3694 - 2018	JODHA RAM (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
524.	RFA - 987 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SITA RAM AND OTHERS.
525.	RFA - 346 - 2020	HUKAM SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
526.	RFA - 2140 - 2018	TEK CHAND AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
527.	RFA - 1025 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM KISHAN AND OTHERS.
528.	RFA - 986 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SUMER AND OTHERS.
529.	RFA - 995 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MANGE RAM AND OTHERS.
530.	RFA - 1023 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS.
531.	RFA - 977 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHISH RAM & OTHERS
532.	RFA - 1487 - 2018	KISHORI LAL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
533.	RFA - 981 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S HARI RAM & OTHERS
534.	RFA - 548 - 2018	RAM KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
535.	RFA - 549 - 2018	RAJU AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
536.	RFA - 1222 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S MANGTU RAM & OTHERS.
537.	RFA - 1226 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAJA RAM (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS.
538.	RFA - 550 - 2018	GRAM PANCHAYAT JALIAWAS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
539.	RFA - 552 - 2018	GINDORI AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
540.	RFA - 1231 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GRAM PANCHAYAT JALIAWAS AND OTHERS.
541.	RFA - 1234 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. BHAGWATI & OTHERS.
542.	RFA - 1221 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHIB LAL AND OTHERS.
543.	RFA - 1229 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DHARAM PAL AND OTHERS.
544.	RFA - 1223 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SHISH PAL & OTHERS.
545.	RFA - 1218 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S PHOOL SINGH AND OTHERS.
546.	RFA - 1230 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DHAN SINGH AND OTHERS
547.	RFA - 1228 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. MANA AND OTHERS.
548.	RFA - 1217 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S KUNDAN & OTHERS.

549.	RFA - 1233 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S DULI CHAND AND OTHERS.
550.	RFA - 1227 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAJU AND OTHERS.
551.	RFA - 254 - 2018	DHARAM PAL (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
552.	RFA - 551 - 2018	BEGH RAJ V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
553.	RFA - 1220 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. GINDORI AND OTHERS.
554.	RFA - 1219 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S BEGH RAJ AND OTHERS.
555.	RFA - 1232 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S LAL SINGH & OTHERS.
556.	RFA - 1224 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S RAM KUMAR & OTHERS.
557.	RFA - 261 - 2018	RAJA RAM (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
558.	RFA - 1225 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S GUGAN RAM AND OTHERS.
559.	RFA - 1729 - 2018	ANIL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
560.	RFA - 2062 - 2018	DULI CHAND DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
561.	RFA - 2138 - 2018	SMT. BHAGWATI AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
562.	RFA - 1991 - 2018	SMT. MANA AND OTHERS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
563.	RFA - 2145 - 2018	SHIB LAL @ SHIV LAL (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
564.	RFA - 709 - 2018	LAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
565.	RFA - 1902 - 2018	GUGAN RAM SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS
566.	RFA - 2131 - 2018	DHAN SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
567.	RFA - 553 - 2018	PHOOL SINGH (DECEASED) THR LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
568.	RFA - 708 - 2018	KUNDAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
569.	RFA - 1376 - 2018	SHISH PAL (DECEASED) THR LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.
570.	RFA - 1340 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SOM DUTT & OTHERS.
571.	RFA - 1324 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S SMT. SANTRA & OTHERS
572.	RFA - 1332 - 2018	HSI IDC V/S ANIL & OTHERS
573.	RFA - 879 - 2020	RAM PARSAD V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
574.	RFA - 259 - 2018	HEM RAM SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS & OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
575.	RFA - 1409 - 2019	RAMESHWAR (DECEASED) THRO LRS ETC V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
576.	RFA - 260 - 2018	RAM NARAIN SINCE DECEASED THR LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
577.	RFA - 861 - 2019	SOM DUTT AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

578.	RFA - 1433 - 2019	RAM PAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
579.	RFA - 1334 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DHARMENDER & OTHERS
580.	RFA - 1722 - 2018	DHARAMVIR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
581.	RFA - 1335 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DESH RAJ & OTHERS
582.	RFA - 1721 - 2018	KHUSHI RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
583.	RFA - 105 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RAM PARSAD AND OTHERS
584.	RFA - 1336 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM NARAIN & OTHERS
585.	RFA - 1328 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HUKAM CHAND AND OTHERS
586.	RFA - 1724 - 2018	RAMESH KUMAR AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
587.	RFA - 880 - 2020	RAJA RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
588.	RFA - 1333 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAMESH KUMAR & OTHERS
589.	RFA - 1725 - 2018	DESH RAJ AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
590.	RFA - 1339 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S OMPAL & OTHERS
591.	RFA - 1326 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S HEM RAJ @ HAR CHAND AND OTHERS
592.	RFA - 255 - 2018	RAM NARAIN SINCE DECEASED THR LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
593.	RFA - 1329 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUDHIR KUMAR ADOPTED SON OF BHAWANI SINGH AND OTHERS
594.	RFA - 1726 - 2018	KHAYALI RAM DECEASED THR HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
595.	RFA - 1723 - 2018	SMT. SANTRA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
596.	RFA - 1331 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S NAWAL @ NAWAL KISHORE AND OTHERS
597.	RFA - 116 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RAMESHWAR AND OTHERS
598.	RFA - 1330 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SUKH RAM AND OTHERS
599.	RFA - 258 - 2018	LALA RAM SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
600.	RFA - 101 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RAM PAL AND OTHERS
601.	RFA - 1338 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KISHORI LAL & OTHERS
602.	RFA - 1343 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S DHARAMVIR AND OTHERS
603.	RFA - 1325 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KHUSHI RAM AND OTHERS
604.	RFA - 1342 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S SOBHA RAM & OTHERS
605.	RFA - 3691 - 2018	NAWAL @ NAWAL KISHORE AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
606.	RFA - 4597 - 2018	SUKH RAM DECEASED THR LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
607.	RFA - 3690 - 2018	SOBHA RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

608.	RFA - 100 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S RAJA RAM AND OTHERS
609.	RFA - 121 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S SATISH KUMAR AND OTHERS
610.	RFA - 1323 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAKESH KUMAR & OTHERS
611.	RFA - 1341 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S RAM NARAIN AND OTHERS
612.	RFA - 1892 - 2018	RAKESH KUMAR & ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
613.	RFA - 3659 - 2018	SUDHIR KUMAR AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
614.	RFA - 98 - 2021	HSIIDC V/S GRAM PANCHAYAT KARNAWAS AND OTHERS
615.	RFA - 3658 - 2018	HUKAM CHAND V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
616.	RFA - 1327 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S LALA RAM & OTHERS
617.	RFA - 1337 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S KHAYALI RAM & OTHERS
618.	RFA - 3105 - 2019	GRAM PANCHAYAT, KARNAWAS, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT REWARI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
619.	RFA - 1727 - 2018	DHARMENDER AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
620.	RFA - 2795 - 2018	KISHORI LAL DECEASED THR LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
621.	RFA - 2015 - 2021	RAVI KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
622.	RFA - 2065 - 2021	SITAR SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH LR PHOOL PATI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
623.	RFA - 2026 - 2021	HARPAL SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
624.	RFA - 2032 - 2021	HARPAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
625.	RFA - 2017 - 2021	RAM SINGH DECEASED THROUGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
626.	RFA - 2025 - 2021	JOGINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
627.	RFA - 2020 - 2021	JOGINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
628.	RFA - 2019 - 2021	RAJENDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
629.	RFA - 2029 - 2021	AMAR KAUR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
630.	RFA - 1102 - 2021	NATHU RAM V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
631.	RFA - 1474 - 2021	RAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
632.	RFA - 2024 - 2021	JOGINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
633.	RFA - 1473 - 2021	RAM PHOOL AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
634.	RFA - 2034 - 2021	JOGINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
635.	RFA - 2028 - 2021	RAVI KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

636.	RFA - 2023 - 2021	RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
637.	RFA - 2011 - 2021	OM PARKASH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
638.	RFA - 1316 - 2021	SUBE SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
639.	RFA - 1545 - 2021	GRAM PANCHAYAT SUTHANI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
640.	RFA - 2012 - 2021	AMI LAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
641.	RFA - 2027 - 2021	SHRI RAM AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
642.	RFA - 2066 - 2021	SITAR SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LR PHOOLPATI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
643.	RFA - 2022 - 2021	RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
644.	RFA - 2021 - 2021	RAM SINGH DECEASED THROUGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
645.	RFA - 465 - 2018	RAMJI LAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
646.	RFA - 305 - 2020	ROOP CHAND THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
647.	RFA - 1640 - 2018	HSIIDC V/S BALU RA AND OTHERS
648.	RFA - 8492 - 2018	HAR LAL (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS