

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

**CRM-M-53965-2021
Decided on : 23.12.2021**

Parneet Kaur and others

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Kabir VAdhera, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondent No. 2 for deciding the representations dated 01.12.2021 (annexed as Annexures P-5 & P-6, respectively) and taking appropriate legal action, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that even though specific allegations had been levelled against the accused persons vide representations dated 01.12.2021 (Annexures P-5 & P-6), however, the official respondents had failed to initiate any action against them.

Learned counsel has submitted that the official respondent(s) were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on their representation, as they *prima facie* disclosed the commission of cognizable offences.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed off with a direction to the official respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Mohali, to look into the grievance of the petitioner as stated in the representations dated 01st December, 2021 (Annexure P-5 & P-6) and take appropriate action.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondent(s). Copy of the petition has already been supplied to the State through e-mail.

In the wake of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Mohali, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner as stated in the representations dated 01st December, 2021 (Annexure P-5 & P-6) and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if the respondent does not find any substance or merit in the allegations levelled by them, much less, commission of cognizable offences, then the petitioners be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Apex Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors 2013(4) RCR (Criminal) 979.**

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 23, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No