

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26371 of 2021
Date of Decision : 22.12.2021

Rupinderpreet Singh ...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner sent e-mail (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.2 in respect of the grievances, which are the subject-matter of the instant petition but no decision has been taken on the same, till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same.

[2] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[3] Mr. Ayush Sarna, learned AAG, Punjab, accepts notice and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, no reply is necessary.

[5] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing

respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in e-mail (Annexure P-7) dated 26.11.2021 in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible preferably within 01 week from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.12.2021
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>