

CWP-26347-2021

Date of Decision : 22.12.2021

M/s Rama Krishna Rice And General Mills ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to process and finalize the accounts of the petitioner firm in terms of Due Recovery and Settlement Scheme 2019-2020, which has not been done so far despite submission of application by the petitioner Annexure P/13 dated 30.09.2019.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide application / representation Annexure P/13 dated 30.09.2019, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

4. Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the nature of order proposed to be passed, there is no necessity to seek reply.

6. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in application / representation Annexure P/13 dated 30.09.2019, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.12.2021
rajesh

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>