

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42581-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.08.2021

Rohit Garg

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner prays for an anticipatory bail in FIR No.544, dated 07.09.2019, under Sections 323/325/34/393/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court, vide order dated 11.12.2019, had granted ad interim bail to the petitioner:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.544, dated 07.09.2019, under Sections 323, 325, 34, 393, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner along with three others had an altercation with attendants of a petrol pump on their refusal to fill

petrol in the car. She further contends that as per the CCTV footage, no overt act is attributable to the petitioner and other three accused are in custody. She also contends that the petitioner is 23 years of age and is not involved in any other case.

Adjourned to 20.01.2020.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join investigation. In the event of his arrest, the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on ad-interim bail subject to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Narayan Dutt, submits that the petitioner has since joined the investigation, pursuant to order dated 11.12.2019 (*ibid*), and is no longer required for any custodial interrogation.

In the wake of the above, the order dated 11.12.2019 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

10.08.2021

AK Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No