

CWP-26277-2021

Date of Decision :21.12.2021

Manisha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel contends that although the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/8 dated 16.12.2021, to respondent No.2 but he prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a comprehensive representation and directions being issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

[2] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[3] Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl, A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner. In view of the nature of order proposed to be passed, there is no necessity to seek reply.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as

statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is permitted to

be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a comprehensive representation to respondent No.2 within one week from today and in case any such representation is received from the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.12.2021
Poonam Negi

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>