

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-53823-2021**

**Date of decision-22.12.2021**

**Gorkha Masih and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. D.S.Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioners.

**\*\*\***

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J.**

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to restrain SHO Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar (respondent No.4) from raiding the house of the petitioners as they are not involved in any criminal case. Further, the prayer has been made for issuance of directions to official respondents to issue prior notice under Sections 41 (A) and 160 Cr.P.C to the petitioners in order to avoid harassment, as their representation dated 10.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) has not been addressed by the official respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the police officers are unnecessarily raiding the house of the petitioners and in this regard representation dated 10.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) was given to Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar and though the same

has been marked to SHO Ajnala, but till date no action has been taken upon the same. He submits that the petitioners are not involved in any case, therefore, the raid by police officers to their house is not justified. He prays that the necessary directions be issued to the official respondents and they be restrained from harassing the petitioners.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the relevant particulars regarding the alleged raid/harassment by police officers are not contained in the petition. Apart from it, it is also conceded that the representation has also been given recently, which has been further marked to the SHO Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar.

After hearing learned counsel and considering the above background, this Court is not inclined to exercise the inherent powers at this stage, as the representation is being looked into by the official respondents.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)  
JUDGE

22.12.2021

|        |                             |     |    |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| vanita | Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
|        | Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |