

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26204-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.12.2021**

M/s AERENS Goldsouk Projects (Hisar) Pvt. Ltd. ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others ... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate and
Mr. Saksham Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Instant petition was filed assailing the order dated 31.08.2016 (Annexure P-42) in terms of which the Director General, Town and Country Planning, State of Haryana/2nd respondent had declined to renew license No.54 of 2009 dated 28.08.2009.

Petition had come up for preliminary hearing before this Court on 22.12.2021 and at the very outset, learned senior counsel had apprised the Court that the petitioner had already availed of his statutory remedy of appeal dated 04.11.2016 (Annexure P-45) and made a categorical assertion that such appeal has till date not been decided.

We had called upon Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, learned DAG, Haryana to complete instructions in the matter.

During the course of resumed hearing today, learned State counsel suffers a statement that the statutory appeal dated 04.11.2016

(Annexure P-45) would be decided by the Appellate Authority expeditiously and in any case within a period of four months from today.

Statement is accepted.

Since a time frame has been spelt out for deciding the statutory appeal, there would be no occasion for us to examine the validity and legality of the impugned order dated 31.08.2016 (Annexure P-42) at this stage.

Writ petition is disposed of.

We may clarify that we have not examined the case on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

23.12.2021
harjeet

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |