

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

**CRWP-12003-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.12.2021**

HEENA AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Aakash Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sachin Ohri, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no threat perception to the petitioners.

Mr. Sachin Ohri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 & 5 and submits it at bar on instructions that there is nothing to fear from them. However, learned counsel further submits that petitioner No.1 be permitted to meet with them, who is daughter of respondent No.4 and sister of respondent No.5.

Given above, interim protection is granted upto 24.12.2021 and the concerned Security Officer shall permit respondent No.4 along with his wife to interact with petitioner No.1 at the place of their residence and at that time petitioner No.2 shall stay out of their house. It is clarified that respondents No.4 and 5 shall not be accompanied by any person

whomsoever and shall not carry any arm and ammunition. In case, they accompanied by anybody, then they shall not be allowed to meet. It is further clarified that respondents No.4 and 5 shall not cause any threat, inducement or promise to petitioner No.1. They shall also not indulging any threat of suicide etc. The meeting shall not exceed 30 minutes. Respondent No. 4 is also permitted to carry homemade food for petitioners.

Given above, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court and file a fresh petition in case any threat perception arises in future.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

22.12.2021
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>