

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201/2

CRM-M-42422-2019

Date of decision : 01.12.2021

Rajbir Kaur @ Rajvir Kaur and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.141 dated 20.08.2019, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC (offence under Sections 467, 468, 471 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court on 03.10.2019 had passed the following order:-

“Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are not party to any deal with the complainant and no payment was made to them. The dispute of the complainant is with Sharanjit Singh, son of petitioner No.1 and husband of petitioner No.2.

Notice of motion for 02.12.2019.

Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant, filed power of attorney and submits that in fact, complainant has given ₹2 crores to husband of petitioner No.2 to apply for liquor vends in his name, who applied liquor vends in the name of his wife and mother i.e. petitioners, who are the real beneficiaries of the entire deal.

The case appears to be based on documentary evidence.

Petitioners are directed to surrender before the police and join investigation within two weeks. In the event of their arrest being required, they shall be released on interim bail till the next date, subject to their furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, they shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)(i) to (iv) Cr.P.C. failing which they shall lose the benefit of interim bail allowed to them.”

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioners, they are not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Gurwinder Pal, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and the petitioners having joined investigation, the Covid-19 Pandemic, the order dated 03.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 01, 2021

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No