

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(136)

CRM-M-54092-2021

Date of Decision : December 23, 2021

Ramesh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms, Vibha Nagar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed seeking direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 to conduct free and fair investigation in FIR No.530 dated 05.11.2021 registered under Sections 379 and 34 of the IPC at Police Station Meham, Rohtak (Haryana) and also for registering a cross case keeping in view the complaint, which the petitioner had submitted before the authorities on 04.11.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak has been impleaded as a party instead of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Meham and she prays that on her oral request Deputy Superintendent of Police, Meham may kindly be impleaded as respondent No.8 in the present petition.

Keeping in view the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Meham is impleaded as respondent No.8 in the present petition. Registry is requested to amend the memo of parties accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an aggrieved party at the hands of private respondents whereas, an FIR No.530 dated 05.11.2021 has been got registered on the asking of the private respondents wherein, the petitioner has been made accused and the plea of the petitioner for registration of case against the private respondents is not being looked into by the police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance, as raised in the present petition, has already been brought to the notice of respondents No. 4 and 8 vide representation dated 04.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) and representation dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3) respectively and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.8-Deputy Superintendent of Police, Meham to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State raises no objection for decision of the representation dated 04.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) and representation dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3) respectively by respondent No.8 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 04.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) and representation dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3) respectively, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.8 to decide the representation dated 04.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) and representation dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3) respectively within a period of six weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 23, 2021*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes

Whether reportable? : No