

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 144

Case No. : CWP No. 25908 of 2021

Date of Decision : December 17, 2021

Rajinder Singh and others Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU.

* * *

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. :

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, inter alia, is for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent department to remove anomaly between the pay of senior and junior Government employees which has occurred due to implementation of 4 years ACP and decide their legal notice dated 19.10.2021 (Annexure P-9).

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from the petitioners, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, at this stage, states that his clients would be satisfied if their legal notice dated 19.10.2021 (Annexure P-9) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 19.10.2021 (Annexure P-9), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 17, 2021
monika

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>