

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26090-2021

Date of Decision: 21.12.2021

Yogesh Kumar

....Petitioner

VS

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is undergoing criminal trial. He is a student and wants to study abroad. Thus, he applied for a passport which was not being issued necessitating the filing of an earlier writ petition. The said petition was disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide his representation. Representation has been decided and passport has been issued, however, the validity thereof is only one year. Thereafter, the petitioner filed an application before the trial Court for going abroad for study purposes. The said application has been allowed subject to certain conditions. The present writ petition has been filed as period of validity of the passport has not been extended.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service. She submits that according to instructions of the year 1993 issued by the Ministry of External Affairs, when a criminal trial is pending, the relief of extension of validity period of the passport can also be granted by the trial Court only.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to

withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the trial Court for necessary relief.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

21.12.2021

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No