

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11787-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.12.2021

Rinku and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Riffi Birla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. The certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation

dated 13.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

On the asking of this Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to decide the representation dated 13.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

15.12.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No