

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-11844-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.12.2021**

DIYA AND ANR

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus hence directing respondent No. 2 to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondent Nos. 3 to 8.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-4.

3. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-4, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. However, before the authorities concerned, mete compliance with the afore made order, they shall ensure, that the run away marriage solemnized, *inter se*, the co-petitioners, was a sequel to both acquiring the

relevant age of majority. Moreover, the afore order is also subject to, the father-in-law of co-petitioner No. 2 (husband), not making any complaint with respect to his daughter, being abducted by the afore co-petitioner No.2.

6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

December 16, 2021
Ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>