

111.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11942-2021

Date of Decision: 20.12.2021

DEVENDER KUMAR

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to take an appropriate action upon the representation dated 08.10.2021 (Annexure P-3), with a further prayer that an enquiry be conducted by some senior police officer in this regard.

It is contended that the petitioner herein had sought to purchase a piece of land from Dhulichand, measuring 725 square yards, out of which, there was an agreement to sell qua land measuring 600 square yards to respondent No.5. In the said agreement to sell, there was a specific mention that the land was being purchased from Dhulichand and if there is delay in execution of that sale deed, the second sale agreement would come into effect. It is further contended that now the petitioner is being harassed for return Rs.35 lakhs, whereas the amount received under the sale agreement

dated 24.01.2014 with the complainant is only Rs.20 lakhs. He has also preferred an application with the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, dated 08.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) in this regard, however, the same has not been considered. He has already put in appearance before the officers of the Economic Offences Wing after he was summoned by way of Annexure P-4.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. He would submit that as per own pleadings of the petitioner herein, he has only been summoned to appear before the Economic Crime Branch as the matter is under enquiry and, therefore, there could be no possible grievance as raised in case the petitioner has been asked to put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case, deem it appropriate to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to look into the representation dated 08.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) as preferred by the petitioner herein and take a decision thereon expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks.

Present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

20.12.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No