

In virtual Court

CRM-M-41418-2019

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41418-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.07.2021

Tegbir Singh @ Tega

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. M.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.161 dated 14.09.2018 under Sections 341, 323, 324, 148, 149, 427 IPC and Section 326 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Division No.1, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 31.10.2019: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case against the petitioner is totally concocted. The allegation against the petitioner is not supported by the medical evidence. The allegation against the petitioner is of giving datar blow on the left

bicep of the complainant, whereas, there is no injury on the left bicep of the complainant as per the MLR. Still further, it is submitted that the name of the petitioner has been dragged in the case only because of the previous enmity. It has been mentioned by the complainant himself, that in an another case; initiated by the side of the petitioner, the complainant is an accused and facing trial for an offence under Section 307 IPC....”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation and for the last more than 01 year, he has not misused the concession of interim bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Avtar Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 31.10.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

26.07.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No