

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-52811-2021
Date of decision: 23.12.2021**

Lakhandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Harnoor Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Kavinder S. Chhibber, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

This is the fourth petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.53 dated 20.03.2021 lodged under Sections 379B and 411 IPC registered at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.04.2021 in a case of false implication for allegedly snatching a bag containing Rs.10,000/- from an attendant at a petrol pump. Learned counsel submits that 02 out of the 14 prosecution witnesses stand examined.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the petitioner as to what was the material change in the circumstances subsequent to the withdrawal of the previous petition on 10.11.2021, this Court has been apprised that the parties have arrived at an amicable

settlement. It has also been submitted that subsequent to the compromise having been effected between the parties, the parties had approached this Court by way of CRM-M-49557-2021 for quashing of the FIR in question wherein a Coordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to get their respective statements recorded qua the compromise arrived at, between them (Annexure P-4).

Per contra, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for respondent No.2, does not dispute the submissions made by the counsel opposite that the parties had approached this Court by way of CRM-M-49557-2021 seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

In view of the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.12.2021

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No