

**RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
and connected cases**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.12.2021

Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation (now Haryana State
Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation) Limited

....Appellant

Versus

Chanda Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Pritam S Saini, Advocate for the HSIIDC

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for the landowners

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana and
Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. This judgment shall dispose of a batch of Regular First Appeals, (details whereof are on the foot of the judgment), filed under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 1894 Act'), assailing the correctness of the award passed by Reference Court on 29.01.2015 and 05.02.2015. The notification under Section 4, 6 of the 1894 Act and the assessment of the market value of acquired land made by the Land Acquisition Collector (hereinafter referred to as 'LAC') through two different awards is common. A narrow strip of land located in three different contiguous villages namely Bihta, Harioli and Shergarh has been acquired for constructing effluent channel to dispose of storm/sewage effluent from the Industrial Growth

Centre and Food Park at Saha to river Markanda. In villages Bihta, 60 bighas and 7 biswas, and in Village Shergarh, 53 kanals 10 marlas respectively has been acquired. The extent of the area acquired from the village Harioli has not come on record. Learned counsel representing the parties are common and they are ad idem that this batch of appeals can be conveniently disposed by a common judgment.

The relevant particulars are as under:-

05.05.2006 Notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act

03.05.2007 Notification U/s 6 of the 1894 Act

28.04.2009 Award no.1 with respect to village Bihta assessing the market value of the land at Rs.8,00,000/- per acre in village Bihta, 60 bighas 7 biswas were acquired.

28.04.2009 Award no.2 dated 28.04.2009 with respect to land situated in village in Shergarh where 53 kanals 10 marlas of land, has been acquired at the market value of Rs.8,00,000/- per acre.

2. The Reference Court with respect to village Bihta and Harioli has assessed the market value of the acquired land at the rate of Rs.12,90,000/- per acre vide an award dated 29.01.2015 whereas with respect to the acquired land situated in the village Shergarh, vide an award dated 05.02.2015, the Reference Court assessed the market value of the acquired land @ Rs.8,32,000/- per acre. It is further important to note that the beneficiary agency or the State of Haryana have not filed any appeal with respect to acquired land in village Shergarh. Thus, the correctness of the market value @ Rs.8,32,000/- per acre assessed by the Reference Court has not been questioned by the State or the beneficiary of the acquisition although the landowners have filed appeals seeking modification of the assessment of the market value.

3. On the one hand, learned counsel representing the landowners have contended that the Reference Court has erred in deducting 1/3rd from the base value for the development cost. Whereas on the other hand, learned counsel representing the HSIIDC has contended that the Reference Court while deciding the cases arising from village Bihta and Harioli has committed an error while relying upon the sale exemplar Ex.P38 dated 17.01.2006 with respect to a small plot measuring 300 sq. yards. He submits that there is no possibility that a plot of 300 sq. yards plot was purchased to be used for the agricultural purpose. He further drew the attention of the Court to the finding of the Reference Court to the effect that the aforesaid plot represented by exemplar P38 is located near the abadi (Residential Area of the Village).

4. Before this Court proceeds to analyze the arguments of the learned counsel representing the parties, it is appropriate to note that a large number of sale deeds have been produced by the respective parties. The details of the aforesaid sale exemplars are extracted in the following table:-

Sr. No.	Exhibits Nos. assigned in the records of the cases arising from villages Bihta, Harioli and Shergarh, respectively	Sale Deed No. & Date	Location Village	Area	Total Price	Price in Per Acre
1.	Ex.P-29 Ex.P-32	714 07.11.2003	Dhakoula	20 Sq. Yards	30,000	72,60,000
2.	Ex.P-30 Ex.P-33	700 31.10.2003	Dhakoula	150 Sq. Yards	1,60,000	51,62,666
3.	Ex.P-31 Ex.P-34	69 20.04.2004	Dhakoula	21 Sq. Yards	31,000	71,44,762

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
and connected cases

4

4.	Ex.P-3 Ex.P-6	1213 12.03.2004	Dhakoula	133.3 Sq. Yards	2,00,000	7261815
5.	Ex.P-4 Ex.P-7	66 20.04.2004	Dhakoula	41 Sq. Yards	61,000	7200975
6.	Ex.P-5 Ex.P-8	1796 11.02.2005	Dhakoula	27 Sq. Yards	49,000	8783703
7.	Ex.P-6 Ex.P-9	663 21.06.2005	Dhakoula	41 Sq. Yards	75,000	8853658
8.	Ex.P-7 Ex.P-10	48 15.04.2005	Dhakoula	27 Sq. Yards	50,000	8962962
9.	Ex.P-8 Ex.P-11	1116 30.08.2005	Dhakoula	264 Sq. Yards	3,24,720	59,53,200
10.	Ex.P-26 Ex.P-29	569 05.09.2003	Dhakoula	66 Sq. Yards	1,00,000	7,33,3,333
11.	Ex.P-24 Ex.P-27	225 21.05.2004	Dhakoula	18 Sq. Yards	30,000	80,66,666
12.	Ex.P-9 Ex.P-12	171 11.05.2004	Saha	2 Marlas	2,50,000	20,00,0000
13.	Ex.P-10 Ex.P-13	374 01.07.2003	Saha	54 Sq. Yards	81,000	72,60,000
14.	Ex.P-11 Ex.P-14	352 01.06.2004	Saha	33 Sq. Yards	1,00,000	1,46,66,666
15.	Ex.P-12 Ex.P-15	1079 19.08.2005	Saha	27 Sq. Yards	49,000	87,83,703
16.	Ex.P-13 Ex.P-16	1524 17.12.2004	Saha	27 Sq. Yards	40,500	72,60,000
17.	Ex.P-14 Ex.P-17	751 01.07.2005	Saha	307 Sq. Yards	3,91,425	61,71,000
18.	Ex.P-15 Ex.P-18	1775 04.02.2005	Saha	24.5 Sq. Yards	43,500	85,93469
19.	Ex.P-16 Ex.P-19	309 17.05.2005	Saha	29 Sq. Yards	40,000	66,75,862
20.	Ex.P-17 Ex.P-20	260 13.05.2005	Saha	27 Sq. Yards	50,000	89,62,963
21.	Ex.P-18 Ex.P-21	1235 16.09.2005	Saha	269 Sq. Yards	3,50,000	6297397
22.	Ex.P-19 Ex.P-22	152 29.04.2005	Saha	22/30 Marlas (22.14 Sq. Yards)	40,000	87,44,354
23.	Ex.P-20 Ex.P-23	86 26.04.2005	Saha	27 Sq. Yards	50,000	89,62,963
24.	Ex.P-21 Ex.P-24	1185 05.03.2004	Saha	49 Sq. Yards	73,500	72,60,000

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
and connected cases

5

25.	Ex.P-22 Ex.P-25	409 08.06.2004	Saha	18.33 Sq. Yards	1,00,000	2,64,04800
26.	Ex.P-23 Ex.P-26	619 30.09.2003	Saha	24 Sq. Yards	36,000	72,60,000
27.	Ex.P-25 Ex.P-28	253 21.05.2004	Saha	19 Sq. Yards	70,000	1,78,31,579
28.	Ex.P-27 Ex.P-30	902 10.12.2002	Saha	39 Sq. Yards	36,000	44,67,692
29.	Ex.P-28 Ex.P-31	1203 09.09.2005	Saha	89 Sq. Yards	2,45,000	1,33,23,595
30.	Ex.P-2 Ex.P-5	323 20.05.2005	Tepla	211 Sq. Yards (Constructed)	5,50,000	1,26,16,113
31	Ex.P-34 Ex.P-36	1768 20.12.2005	Bihta	200 Sq. Yards	80,000	19,36,000
32	Ex.P-35 Ex.P-37	1488 28.10.2005	Bihta	150 Sq. Yards	60,000	19,36,000
33.	Ex.P-36 Ex.P-38	447 16.06.2006	Bihta	300 Sq. Yards	1,20,000	19,36,000
34.	Ex.P-37 Ex.P-39	1849 30.12.2005	Bihta	550 Sq. Yards	2,20,000	19,36,000
35.	Ex.P-38 Ex.P-40	2056 17.01.2006	Bihta	621 Sq. Yards	2,48,000	19,32,882
36.	Ex.P-39 Ex.P-41	2103 27.01.2006	Bihta	122 Sq. Yards	50,000	19,83,606
37.	Ex.P-40 Ex.P-42	301 26.05.2006	Bihta	10 Biswa (504.2 Sq. Yards)	2,00,000	19,19,873
38.	Ex.P-41 Ex.P-43	1089 23.08.2005	Bihta	200 Sq. Yards	80,000	19,36,000
39.	Ex.P-45	710 28.06.2005	Shergarh	6 Marlas	39,000	10,40,000
40.	Ex.P-46	745 01.07.2005	Shergarh	3 Marlas	19,500	10,40,000
41.	Ex.P-47	234 15.06.2007	Shergarh	6 Marlas	60,000	16,00,000
42	Ex.P-48	284 26.06.2007	Shergarh	6 Marlas	60,000	16,00,000

Documentary Evidence produced by the HSIIDC

43.	Ex.R-1	2347 14.03.2006	Bihta	2 Bigha 10 Biswa Total: 2520.68 Sq. Yards	1,60,000	3,07,219
44	Ex.R-2	2499 23.08.2006	Bihta	8 Bigha (8066.64 Sq. Yards)	3,40,000	2,04,016

45	Ex.R-3	995 28.11.2006	Bihta	3 Bigha 16 Biswa Total:3831.71	1,00,000	1,26,337
46	Ex.R-4	788 30.11.2007	Bihta	12 Bigha 4 2/3 rd Biswa Total: 12326 Sq. Yards	16,65,000	6,53,296
47	Ex.R-1	740 20.11.2007	Shergarh	5 kanal 2 Marla	4,00,000	6,27,451
48	Ex.R-2	139 22.05.2007	Shergarh	5 kanal 9 Marla	4,10,000	6,01,835

The various expressions used in the aforesaid table are with reference to the size of the agricultural land and so, it will be appropriate to delineate the same. Hence, it is described as under:-

1 Rectangle	=	5 X 5 = 25 Acre
1 Acre	=	160 Marlas
8 Kanal	=	1 Acre
1 Kanal	=	20 Marlas
1 Acre	=	4840 Sq. Yards
1 Marla	=	272.251=30.25 Sq. Yards
1 Inch	=	2.54 cm
1 Foot	=	12 Inch
1 Sq. Feet	=	12 X 12 = 144 Inch
1 Yard	=	3 Feet
1 Sq. Yard	=	9 Sq. Feet
100 Sq. Yards	=	900 Sq. Feet
1 Kanal	=	0.125 Acre
1 Marla	=	0.00625001 Acre
1 Acre = 4.75 Bigha = 96 Biswas		
1 Bigha = 20 Biswa = 1008.33 Sq.Yds.		
1 Biswa = 20 Biswani = 50.42 Sq.Yds.		

5. PW1-Uday Singh, PW-2-Rajinder Kumar and PW-4 Gulshan Raj Singh are the landowners who have deposed about the potential uses of the acquired land. PW3 Jasmer Singh, Patwari has proved Aksh- shijra (Ex.PW1) (revenue layout plan) of the acquired land of village Bihta. On the contrary, the HSIIDC has examined Sh.Rajinder Kumar as RW1 who has stated that the market value of the land was not

more than Rs.6,00,000/- per acre, however, the LAC has already awarded Rs.8,00,000/- per acre.

6. In the connected cases arising from Village Shergarh, Inderjit son of Thakur Singh, one of the landowners, has appeared in evidence as PW1, whereas Rajinder Kumar, Patwari of Village Shergarh has been examined as PW2. He has produced Aksh-shijra (revenue layout plan) of the acquired land. PW3 Roop Ram Barar, a registered Architect, has produced the layout plan Ex.P4. On the other hand, the HSIIDC has examined Rajinder Kumar Mehta, Senior Manager, HSIIDC, Industrial Growth Centre, Saha, as DW1.

7. First and foremost, it is important to note that the Courts have devised a method of applying deduction in order to assess the market value of the acquired land, particularly, when there is a dissimilarity between the acquired land and the land covered by the sale exemplars produced by the parties. If the court finds that the sale deed produced as an exemplar is either of the plot from the developed area or is of a very small plot that is used for residential, commercial or industrial purpose or there is any other dissimilarity, the court, in order to moderate the market value, applies deduction/cut to arrive at a just, fair and reasonable amount. However, the court is not required to apply the deduction/ development cut if a comparable sale instance of a contemporaneous period have been produced by the landowners.

8. It is important to note that although the revenue layout plan (Ex.PW1) has been produced, inspite of that, no layout plan has

been produced by the respective parties that makes the identification of the location of different parcels of land transacted through various sale exemplars completely transparent. In other words, both the parties have failed to produce a proper layout plan, that identifies the geographical location of the different parcels of land sold through various sale exemplars vis-a-vis the acquired land. Hence, this Court is left with no other choice but to proceed on the basis of the material produced by the respective parties. Ex.P1, a small revenue layout plan, shows that the acquired land in all the three villages (i.e Bihta, Harioli and Shergarh) is in the shape of a long narrow strip of land. As already noticed, the acquisition is for construction of a drain to dispose of storm/sewage effluent from Industrial Growth Centre and Food Park at Saha. Through this proposed drain, the sewage effluent/storm water is sought to be drained out in river Markanda which flows nearby the acquired land.

9. From a careful perusal of the information compiled in the table with respect to the sale exemplars produced by the respective parties, it is evident that the landowners have produced the sale exemplars of very small plots. As compared thereto, the sale exemplars produced by HSIIDC clearly are of sufficiently reasonable sized plots. In fact, keeping in view the size of the plots reflected in the sale deeds produced by the landowners, it is safe to conclude that the aforesaid sale exemplars are not with respect to the sale of an agricultural land. Furthermore, it is evident that even after the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act, which was issued on 05.05.2005, the prices of the land in the village did not increase, which is evident from the sale exemplars

produced by the HSIIDC.

10. The Reference Court, while deciding the cases arising from villages Bihta and Harioli has relied upon the sale deed exemplar Ex.P38 dated 17.01.2006 with respect to a plot measuring 300 sq. yards against a total sale consideration of Rs.1,20,000/-. The per acre rate of the aforesaid land comes to Rs.19,36,000/-. However, there is a very significant finding of the Reference Court that the aforesaid parcel of land is located near the abadi (residential area of the village). The Reference Court has itself found that the sold plot is not for agricultural purpose. The size of the plot is significantly small. It is 1/16th part of an acre. The total acquisition is 60 bighas 7 biswas + 53 kanals 3 marlas. (It is nearly 21 acres). Therefore, the reliance placed by the Reference Court on the sale exemplar Ex.P38 is inappropriate, particularly, when HSIIDC has produced the sale exemplars of different parcels of land sold in village Bihta, which were not only comparable but were also of the contemporaneous period. Ex.R1 is a sale deed dated 14.03.2006, whereby 2 bighas 10 biswas land has been sold for a total sale consideration of Rs.1,60,000/-. (Its per acre rate comes to Rs.3,07,219/-) Ex.R2 (dated 23.08.2006) is a sale instance of 8 bighas (8066.64 square yards) land sold for Rs.3,40,000/-. The per acre rate of this sale instance comes to Rs.2,04,016/-. Whereas Ex.R4 (30.11.2007) is a sale instance of 12 bighas 4-2/3 biswas of land (total sale consideration Rs.16,65,000/-) which has been sold at the rate of Rs.6,53,296/- per acre. In other words, it is with respect to a parcel of

land measuring nearly 2 ½ acres.

11. Since the parties have not produced a proper layout plan, as already noticed, this Court is left with no other choice but to apply the thumb rule. In the considered opinion of this Court, the Reference Court has committed an error in assessing the market value on the basis of a sale of a significantly small sized plot which ordinarily was not purchased for the agricultural purpose, particularly when the sale exemplars other than that sale instance (the one that was relied upon) were available. The Reference Court has also committed an error in ignoring the sale exemplar produced by the HSIIDC on the two grounds that the market value of the aforesaid sale exemplar is less than the award of the Collector and these sale instances are undervalued in order to avoid the payment of requisite stamp duty and the registration charges.

12. At this stage, it would be appropriate to extract Section 25 of the 1894 Act:-

“25 Amount of compensation awarded by court not to be lower than the amount award by the Collector - The amount of compensation awarded by the Court shall not be less than the amount awarded by the Collector under Section 11.”

13. On a careful scrutiny of the statutory provision, it is evident that the Legislature did not prohibit the Court from analyzing and evaluating the sale exemplars depicting a price lower than the amount awarded by the LAC to the landowners. The intention of the Legislature is that the court will not award the amount lower than the amount

assessed by the LAC. Hence, the view of the Reference Court in not analysing and evaluating the exemplars depicting a lower price was not correct. Reliance in this regard can be placed on **Lal Chand vs. Union of India (2009) 15 SCC 769**

14. The Reference Court has erred in assuming that these sale instances are the transactions involving transfer of the properties at a lower market price in order to avoid payment of the requisite stamp duty and registration charges. In absence of evidence to prove that the sale deeds produced by the HSIIDC were undervalued or the correct price was not reflected in the sale deeds, the Reference Court erred in ignoring the sale deeds produced by the HSIIDC. Keeping in view the aforesaid discussion, the highest price of the sale exemplars of comparable land during contemporaneous period comes to Rs.6,00,000/- per acre. The LAC has already assessed the market value of the acquired land at the rate of Rs.8,00,000/- per acre. The sale instance Ex.R4 is more than 1 ½ years after the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. In other words, even after the start of acquisition proceedings, the market value of the land did not increase. Ex.R2 is with respect to sufficiently big sized parcel of land (nearly two acres of land).

15. The landowners have also relied upon a judgment passed by the Reference Court with respect to acquisition of the land in village Saha, initiated vide a notification dated 23.12.2005 under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. The award passed by the Reference Court on 29.01.2015

have already been set aside in appeal by this Court while deciding appeals arising from villages Dhakola, Saha, Tepla and Jawahargarh in **HSI IDC vs. Prem Parkash and another (RFA-5100-2015 decided on 13.12.2021)**. While assessing the market value of the acquired land in village Saha, this Court has held that the landowners shall be entitled to market value at the rate of Rs.8,00,000/- per acre. Hence, the judgment relied upon by the landowners has already been reversed.

16. This Bench is of the considered view that the Reference Court has erred in assessing the market value of the land at Rs.12,90,000/-.

17. There is one more aspect of this matter that needs discussion. As already noticed, with regard to acquisition of land in the village Shergarh, arising from the same notification, the Reference Court has assessed the market value at the rate of Rs.8,32,000/- per acre and the HSI IDC or the State of Haryana have not filed any appeal. In other words, the amount of Rs.8,32,000/- has been accepted to be a correct assessment by the acquiring agency. In such circumstances, there is no reason to deprive the landowners from the amount which has been assessed by the Reference Court with respect to adjoining villages, particularly, when a narrow strip of land has been acquired for construction of a drain as there is no evidence that the villages Bihta or Harioli are at a disadvantageous location.

18. Consequently, the market value of the acquired land is uniformly assessed at Rs.8,32,000/- per acre. Resultantly, the appeals

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
and connected cases

13

filed by the HSIIDC with respect to the acquired land located in village Bihta and Harioli shall stand allowed whereas the appeals filed by the landowners in respect of land located in all three villages shall stand dismissed.

16.12.2021
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

1.	RFA-5680-2015	HSIIDC V/S CHANDA SINGH AND ORS.
2.	RFA – 196 - 2017	MAHABIR SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
3.	RFA – 195 - 2017	GULSHAN RAJ SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
4.	RFA – 1324 - 2017	RAJINDER SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
5.	RFA – 631 - 2017	RAJINDER SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
6.	RFA – 6892 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S MAHABIR SINGH AND OTHERS
7.	RFA – 4490 - 2015	SHEESH PAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
8.	RFA – 6891 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S SHEESH PAL AND OTHERS
9.	RFA – 199 - 2017	YASH PAL V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
10.	RFA -198 - 2017	RAGHBIR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
11.	RFA – 197 - 2017	KULWANT SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
12.	RFA – 1283 - 2017	RAM RATI AND OTHERS V/SSTATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
13.	RFA – 4645 - 2018	HARDIAL SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LR AND OTHERS V/SSTATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
14.	RFA -2546 - 2018	MEHAM PAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)

and connected cases

14

		ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
15.	RFA – 303 - 2018	SIRI PAL AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
16.	RFA – 302 - 2018	CHINTO DEVI (DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
17.	RFA – 2514 - 2017	BALA DEVI (DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
18.	RFA - 611 - 2017	ASHWANI KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/SSTATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
19.	RFA – 610 - 2017	RAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
20.	RFA - 609 - 2017	DEEP CHAND V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
21.	RFA – 608 - 2017	ARUN KUMAR V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
22.	RFA - 202 - 2017	BACHAN KAUR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
23.	RFA – 201 - 2017	PIRTHVI CHANDER @ PIRTHVI SINGH V/SSTATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
24.	RFA - 200 - 2017	UDEY SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
25.	RFA – 612 - 2017	JASRAJ SINGH V/SSTATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
26.	RFA - 5707 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S YASH PAL AND ANOTHER
27.	RFA – 5708 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITEDV/S HARDIAL SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS
28.	RFA - 5712 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S PIRTHVI CHANDER @ PIRTHVI SINGH AND ANOTHER
29.	RFA – 5709 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S BALDEV SINGH AND OTHERS
30.	RFA - 5706 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S NORATI @ NORATI DEVI (DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS AND ANOTHER

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)

and connected cases

15

31.	RFA – 5714 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S CHINTO DEVI (DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS AND OTHERS
32.	RFA - 5713 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITEDV/S MEHAR SINGH AND OTHERS
33.	RFA – 5710 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITEDV/S GULSHAN RAJ AND ANOTHER
34.	RFA – 5725 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S SIRI PAL SINGH AND OTHERS
35.	RFA – 5711 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS
36.	RFA – 5715 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAVINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER
37.	RFA – 5723 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S SIRI PAL SINGH AND ANOTHER
38.	RFA – 6890 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S SURINDER PAL AND OTHERS
39.	RFA – 4390 - 2015	RAM KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
40.	RFA – 4394 - 2015	SHALAV V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
41.	RFA – 4391 - 2015	BRIJ PAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
42.	RFA – 4392 - 2015	RAMWATI AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
43.	RFA – 4799 - 2015	NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
44.	RFA - 4800 - 2015	PARDEEP SINGH THROUGH HIS G.P.A. SHRI ANUP CHAUHAN S/O SH. RANBIR SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
45.	RFA - 4801 - 2015	RAM KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
46.	RFA - 4797 - 2015	CHANDA SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
47.	RFA - 4798 - 2015	SIRI PAL SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)

and connected cases

16

48.	RFA - 4802 - 2015	SURINDER PAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
49.	RFA - 4965 - 2016	SANDEEP KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER
50.	RFA - 2115 - 2016	RAVINDER SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
51.	RFA - 2114 - 2016	BALDEV SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
52.	RFA - 5438 - 2015	OM PAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
53.	RFA - 4804 - 2015	JASPAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
54.	RFA - 4803 - 2015	SURINDER PAL AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA
55.	RFA - 5685 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S MAHABIR SINGH AND ANOTHER
56.	RFA - 5681 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITEDV/S SANDEEP KUMAR AND OTHERS
57.	RFA - 5682 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S BALA DEVI AND OTHERS
58.	RFA - 5683 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S SALAV AND ANOTHER
59.	RFA - 5686 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS
60.	RFA - 5688 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAMWATI AND OTHERS
61.	RFA - 5690 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAM RATI AND OTHERS
62.	RFA - 5694 - 2015	STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S UDEY SINGH AND ANOTHER
63.	RFA - 5698 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S SURINDER PAL AND OTHERS
64.	RFA - 5695 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAGHBIR SINGH AND OTHERS
65.	RFA - 5693 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S PRADEEP SINGH THROUGH HIS G.P.A. SHRI ANUP CHAUHAN AND ANOTHER

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
and connected cases

17

66.	RFA - 5691 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S ARUN KUMAR AND ANOTHER
67.	RFA-5692-2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S DHARAMBIR AND OTHERS
68.	RFA - 5689 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITEDV/S BRIJ PAL AND OTHERS
69.	RFA - 5684 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITEDV/S OM PAL SINGH AND OTHERS
70.	RFA - 5696 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S MEHAM PAL SINGH AND OTHERS
71.	RFA - 5699 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAM KUMAR AND OTHERS
72.	RFA - 5687 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S DEEP CHAND AND ANOTHER
73.	RFA - 5697 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S HARPAL SINGH AND ANOTHER
74.	RFA - 5700 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S JASPAL SINGH AND OTHERS
75.	RFA- 5701- 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S RAM KUMAR AND OTHERS
76.	RFA-5702- 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS
77.	RFA - 5705 - 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S JASRAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER
78.	RFA- 5704- 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S BACHAN KAUR AND OTHERS
79.	RFA–5703- 2015	HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,(NOW HARYANA STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION) LIMITED V/S KULWANT SINGH AND ANOTHER
80.	RFA-4393-2015	TILAK RAJ VS. STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER(C)-CUM-LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, AMBALA AND ANOTHER

RFA-5680-2015 (O&M)
and connected cases

18

81	RFA-631-2017	RAJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS VS. STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
----	--------------	---

16.12.2021
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE