

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25331 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.12.2021**

Urmila Anand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petitioner, aged about 67 years, has filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the official respondent(s) to implement the provisions of Action Plan dated 27.11.2014 (P-7), notified under Rule 23 of the Punjab Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Rules, 2012. Further prayer is for directing the respondents to take appropriate action on the representations (P-1, P-2 & P-5).

After arguing for some time, learned Counsel on instructions from the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case the representation dated 29.10.2021 (P-5) is considered and decided expeditiously.

Learned State Counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 29.10.2021 (P-5) in accordance with law by passing speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

It is clarified that in case Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar is not the competent authority, the representation (P-5) be forwarded henceforth to the concerned quarter for compliance of this order.

December 14th, 2021

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>