

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 110

Civil Revision No.3275 of 2021

Date of Decision : December 14, 2021

Jai Inder Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish Chand

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is the defendant. He has challenged order dated 09.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division) Naraingarh whereby his application for amendment of the written statement has been dismissed. The application for amendment was filed because during the pendency of the suit, the petitioner had purchased a share in the joint land. He wanted to bring on record this subsequent event. The trial Court has rejected the application on the ground that title has already been set up in the written statement. Suit is for mandatory injunction and thus, purchase of a share subsequently is not material.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner only wants to bring on record the subsequent event of purchase of share.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to explain the necessity of bringing on record the subsequent event. Thus, the trial Court was justified in rejecting the application for amendment of written statement.

The revision petition has no merit and is dismissed.

December 14, 2021

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**