

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52216-2021

Date of Decision: 17th December, 2021

Karan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No.550, dated 9th August, 2021, under Sections 406, 420 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Hansi City, Hansi.

2. The complainant-Gaura alleged that she entered into an agreement to sell of plot measuring 200 square yard with Murti Devi wife of Balbir Singh and Krishna Devi wife of Krishan Kumar. Out of consideration of Rs.14,25,000/- earnest amount of Rs. 4,00,000/- was paid. In the meantime, petitioner (Karan Singh) along with his wife Sunita approached the complainant and asked her to pay the remaining consideration so that he can get the sale deed executed. It is alleged that Rs.11,25,000/- was given to the petitioner but he got executed sale deed in his name. The Panchayati compromise was entered between the parties which was not adhered to.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of false implication. Taking the allegations on his face value, the dispute is civil in nature. Petitioner is in custody since 25th September, 2021,

investigation is complete. He further relies upon the fact that co-accused

was granted bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail and submits that the complainant widow lady was duped of her hard earned money. He further submits that petitioner is involved in one more case under Excise Act.

5. Mere involvement of the petitioner in another case in itself would not be a ground to deprive his personal liberty. Petitioner is in custody since September, 2021, investigation is complete and the dispute *prima facie* has tone and tenor of civil nature. Petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. The petition is allowed.

7. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

17th December, 2021

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No