

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.5147 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:13.12.2021**

Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation, C-13-14,
Sector 6, Panchkula through its Divisional Town Planner.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Pawan Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate, for the HSIIDC.

Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate
Mr. Gurmandeep Singh Sullar, Advocate
Mr. Yadvinder Singh Turka, Advocate
Mr. Jagram Singh Cooner, Advocate
Mr. Ishan Singh Cooner, Advocate
Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate
Mr. Jasmer Chand, Advocate
Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Mohan Singh Puri, Advocate
Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Vibha Tiwari, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

CM-10172-CI-2015

Application under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC
and under Rule 2, Chapter 1-C, Volume (V) of the Punjab and Haryana High
Court Rules & Orders, for permission to file appeal as interested person, has
been filed.

It has been submitted that in some other connected appeals, the
applicant-HSIIDC has already been impleaded as respondent by the
landowners. Further, the land in question has been acquired for the benefit of
the applicant and payment of compensation is to be made by the applicant.

In view of the above averments, application is allowed.

CM stands disposed of.

Main case

For orders, see order of even date passed in **Regular First Appeal No.5100 of 2015**, titled as **Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation (now Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited), Sector 6, Panchkula, through District Town Planner Vs. Prem Parkash and another.**

13.12.2021

Parveen

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No