

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.109

CRM-M-51726-2021

Date of decision: 13.12.2021

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Aamandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.80, dated 24.10.2021, registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149, 506 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Ghanie Ke Bangar, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

The petitioner is sought to be prosecuted for having inflicted a grievous injury on the right leg of Thakur Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case as a civil litigation is pending between the parties; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; there is unexplained delay of 03 days in registration of the FIR; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, the petitioner is attributed a single injury on a non-vital part of Thakur Singh's person and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Admittedly, the injury attributed to the petitioner is by a sharp edged weapon which caused a cut into the leg of Thakur Singh down to his bone and even fractured it.

That being so, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve to the grant of concession of anticipatory bail especially when the weapon allegedly used by the petitioner is to be recovered from him.

Dismissed.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

December 13, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No