

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51708-2021

Date of decision : 13.12.2021

Sukha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.48 dated 20.08.2021 registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 326 of IPC has been added later on) at Police Station Arif Ke, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present case is a case of version and cross-version and has contended that in fact, the injuries suffered by the complainant which have been attributed to the petitioner, although are grievous in nature, but are on a non-vital part i.e. left leg.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and has perused the paper book.

As per the prosecution version, it is the petitioner who had inflicted two injuries with the *kirpan* upon the complainant. One injury with the *kirpan*, which had been inflicted upon the left side of complainant's leg, had been declared to be grievous in nature on the basis of which the provisions under Section 326 of IPC were attracted. The second injury, which had also been inflicted by the petitioner, was on the head of the complainant with the *kirpan*, although the same was with the reverse side of *kirpan*.

Since, the grievous injuries have been attributed to the petitioner, thus, he is not entitled to grant of concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

13.12.2021*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No****Whether reportable:- Yes/No**