

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-41083 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 08, 2021

Ajay Kumar Arya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG Haryana.

Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of arrest in FIR No.136 dated 05.09.2019, under Sections 66(A), 67, 67(A) of Information Technology Act and Sections 354-A, 354-D, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and on the direction of this Court, has joined the investigation. It is also contended that nothing is to be recovered

from him.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Insp. Somvati submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for the custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation and has joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 24.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

July 08, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No