

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(114)

CRWP-11763-2021

Date of Decision : December 15, 2021

Manpreet Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kirat Pal Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed seeking direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, which according to the petitioner, is at threat at the hands of respondent No.3, who is the real father of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is already married though, mutual divorce proceedings are still in progress but respondent No.3 is forcing the petitioner to get married before completion of the ongoing divorce proceedings, which is not only illegal but the petitioner is being forced to do so. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as the petitioner is resisting to marry as per the wish of

her father, the petitioner apprehends that respondent No.3 will take some action, which will threaten the life and liberty of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the Senior Superintendent of Police vide representation dated 06.12.2021 (Annexure P-2), which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State raises no objection in deciding the representation of the petitioner by respondent No.2 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 06.12.2021 (Annexure P-2), the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 06.12.2021(Annexure P-2) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 15, 2021

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No