

CWP-25149-2021

Date of Decision : 29.12.2021

Ram Mehar Lohan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned State counsel has placed order dated 27.12.2021 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Karnal of representation of the petitioner against the adverse remarks recorded in the ACR for the period 01.04.2014 to 31.03.2015 having been accepted and the adverse remarks expunged vide office memo No.1511/PA-IGP dated 25.12.2021 and that in the circumstances, the petitioner having been allowed to continue in service beyond the age of 55 years under PPR-1 Rule 9.17 (A). The aforementioned order is taken on record, copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal of the same, states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same while granting liberty to the petitioner to move a representation before the competent authority for grant of consequential benefits for the period the petitioner was forced to remain out of service.

[2] The same is not opposed to by the learned State counsel.

[3] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn while granting liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation to the competent authority for grant of consequential benefits for the period the petitioner remained out of service within one week of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case any such representation is received, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within four weeks.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.12.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No