

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.127

**Civil Writ Petition No.25674 of 2021
Date of Decision : December 16, 2021**

Rajender Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved because turn of water has been changed on an application filed by respondent No.5. Appeal and revision have also failed.

The submission raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the land for which turn of water has been granted is at a higher level and the same cannot be irrigated through canal irrigation. Further, areas which are not being fed by watercourses have also been granted a turn of water.

Neither of the contentions can be accepted as there is no evidence in this regard. The warabandi, copy of which has been placed on record as Annexure P-2 does not reflect Khasra No.104 which has been referred to as the area not connected with watercourses by referring to site plan (Annexure P-3). Regarding higher level, no evidence has been brought to my notice.

A perusal of the order of the Superintending Canal Officer shows that turn of water has been changed as the area sought to be irrigated has been converted from UCA to CCA. No error has been pointed out in this finding apart from the aforementioned submissions.

Thus, the writ petition is misconceived and is dismissed.

December 16, 2021

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No