

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11577-2021

Date of Decision: 20th December, 2021

Anju and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : None for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.
2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents, hence, there is a threat perception.

On 9.12.2021, the following order was passed:

“ Today physical hearing was held but on request of learned counsel for the petitioners, the matter is taken up by way of hybrid hearing.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage.

Ms. Dimple Jain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 20th December, 2021.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should be record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

3. Learned State counsel has filed the reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Ashish Chaudhary, HPS Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur, annexing the statements of the petitioners. The same is taken on record.
4. It is stated in the statements of the petitioners that there is no threat perception.
5. In view of the above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.
6. Disposed of as infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

20th December, 2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No