

CWP-25633-2021

Date of Decision : 16.12.2021

Gurkirat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. GPS Bal, Advocate and Ms. Ruby Kaur, Advocate for the
petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P-2 dated 1.11.2021 to respondent No.3 in respect of the grievance, which is the subject matter of the writ petition, but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in the representation Annexure P-2 dated 1.11.2021 in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only.

3. Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, gone over the paper-book and in view of the nature of order proposed to be passed, there is no necessity to seek reply in the matter.

Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above as well as

statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the claim made in the representation Annexure P-2 dated 1.11.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.12.2021

ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No