

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25303-2021

Date of Decision: December 14, 2021

SHEELA DEVI

..... PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic, COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was selected and appointed as JBT/PRT Teacher on regular basis on 06.07.2004, has been wrongly ignored for promotion to the post of TGT Sanskrit. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to communication dated 11.05.2018 (Annexure P-8) by the Office of Government High School, Baldi (Karnal) to the Block Elementary Education Officer, Karnal, recommending promotion of the petitioner to the post of TGT Sanskrit being fully eligible. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no action whatsoever is being taken to promote the petitioner despite she being eligible for the same.

Certain candidates junior to the petitioner have been promoted but petitioner

has been ignored in an illegal and arbitrary manner. Learned counsel further submits that in this respect petitioner represented before the Department and also submitted legal notice dated 20.09.2021 (Annexure P-15), but to no avail. Learned counsel relies upon decision dated 23.04.2019 in CWP-10054-2016, titled ***Mahesh Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others*** and decision dated 03.03.2020 in CWP-6950-2016, titled ***Rajinder Singh Vs. The State of Haryana and others*** (Annexure P-11) to buttress the petitioner's claim. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer for a decision on the legal notice dated 20.09.2021, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. Advance copy of the writ petition stands served. Learned counsel for the State does not raise any serious objection to the restricted prayer addressed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, but without any expression of opinion this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide legal notice dated 20.09.2021 (Annexure P-15), within three months from receipt of certified copy of this order. In case of an order adverse to the petitioner, same be by way of a speaking order.

14.12.2021

Sunil

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No