

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRWP-11547-2021

Date of Decision : 09.12.2021

Muskan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Virender Singh, Advcoate the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents Nos.4 to 6 i.e. parents/relatives of the petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that it is most likely that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that both the petitioners are major by age and have solemnized marriage according to the Muslim Rites on 04.12.2021 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn attention of this

Court to the representation dated 04.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) made by the petitioners to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Panipat seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana-respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel submits that after the representation dated 04.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) was received by the concerned authorities, the facts were ascertained and the private respondents were associated in the enquiry, wherein, respondents No.4 to 6 have stated that the petitioner No.1, who has attained the age of majority is free to decide her future and they have no objection qua the same as they are responsible citizens and they will not take law in their hands, much less, to create threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioners does not survive any further and, therefore, she does not want to press the present petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

December 09, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No