

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51336 of 2021

Date of Decision : December 14, 2021

Ankit alias Dhaku

.... Petitioner

vs

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present : Mr. Vikas Bhishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

FIR bearing No.208 of 01.05.2021, constituting therein offences embodied under Sections 307, 120-B, 34 of IPC, and, under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, is registered against the petitioner-bail applicant, at Police Station Azad Nagar, District Hisar.

2. Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, appearing on behalf of the State, has submitted that all the relevant recoveries, stand effected, at the instance of the accused, by the Investigating Officer. He further submits that the investigation is complete, and, that the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been filed before the Court concerned. Moreover, he has also fairly submitted before this Court, that the condition of the victim has improved, and, that he has been discharged from the hospital.

However, now, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, submits that since the bail applicant is a hardened criminal, hence there is every possibility that he shall abuse the facility of bail, as may become granted to him. However, the

afore made contention, can become allayed, upon this Court, imposing stringent conditions upon the bail applicant.

3. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed, and, the petitioner-bail applicant is admitted to bail, and, is ordered to be released from judicial custody. Conspicuously given his suffering judicial incarceration for the last five months. However, the granting of bail to the bail applicant-petitioner, is subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each, before the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, and, also subject to his not tampering with prosecution evidence, and, his not influencing prosecution witnesses, and, besides also his appearing before the trial Court concerned, as and when directed to make his personal appearance. Further, subject to petitioner-bail applicant making an undertaking before the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, that in case, he re-indulges in criminal activities, whereupon, on breach thereof, the order made today, shall remain be *ipso facto* annulled, and, that, upon, his being forthwith arrested by the investigating officer concerned, the latter shall produce the petitioner-bail applicant, before the trial Court concerned, for the latter's making an order for his being put to judicial custody.

4. Copy dasti.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

December 14, 2021

sarita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No