

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-11569-2021
Date of Decision : 09.12.2021**

Gurdev Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondent No.4, i.e. mother of petitioner No.1, who is objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that it is most likely that the private respondent might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that both the petitioners are major by age and have solemnized marriage according to the Sikh Rites on 01.12.2021 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the mother of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondent No.4 .

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn attention of this Court to the representation dated 02.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) made by the

petitioners to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab-respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 02.12.2021 (Annexure P-5), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.4 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

December 09, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No