

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**COCP NO. 3284 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision :16.02.2021**

Prem Nath

.....Petitioner

Versus

Karnesh Sharma and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhay Pal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Sr. Adv. With
Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the retiral benefit has been released to the petitioner. However interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits has not been released. He states similarly situated employees of Municipal Council have been paid the retiral benefits with interest on the delayed payment.

The legal heirs of the petitioner (it has been pointed out that the petitioner has since died) may represent to respondent No. 3 for payment of interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits.

The respondents may consider the said representation sympathetically in accordance with law.

This petition is accordingly disposed of.

16.02.2021

Rajeev (rvs)

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No