

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25260-2021

Date of Decision: 14.12.2021

Sham Lal

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for transfer of the pending appeal from respondent No. 3 to some other official. It is submitted that on a previous date, the lawyers being on strike, the petitioner had gone to the Court in person and a date of March 2022 was given to him. Subsequently, a communication has been received that the date of hearing has been advanced to December 2021. This has created an apprehension in the mind of the petitioner that respondent No. 3 is biased.

The submission is totally misconceived. Once a communication has been received that the date of hearing has been advanced, there is no question of bias of respondent No. 3. In any case, advancing the date of hearing does not imply that the Presiding Officer is biased.

The writ petition is misconceived and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

14.12.2021

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No