

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRWP No.11438 of 2021
Date of Decision: 03.12.2021**

Sangeeta and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sanjay Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ directing respondents No.2 & 3, to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of private respondents.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-7.

3. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-7, through a speaking order.

4. However, the afore order is subject to the condition that, upon the investigating officer, discovering credible evidence, with respect to the age of the co-petitioner Sangeeta, and, in case from the birth certificate

concerned, it is clear that she is below 18 years, thereupon, upon, a complaint being filed by the father of the afore, it is open for the investigating officer concerned, to draw an appropriate action, against the accused, in accordance with law. Nonetheless, he may not arrest him without issuing seven days notice upon him.

5. Petition is disposed of.

6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

December 03, 2021
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No