

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 51057 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.12.2021

Rajesh Kumar
..... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since, the complaints / representations carried in Annexure-P-1 & P-2, remain inactioned on the part of the police authorities concerned, thereupon, the petitioner is led to access this Court, for its making a direction upon the respondents concerned for action being taken thereon.
2. However, even if there is any inaction on the part of the authorities concerned, to galvanize the police machinery, respectively on Annexure P-1 & P-1, yet the afore inaction, can be remedied through the petitioner's accessing the learned Magistrate concerned, with an application under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. The reason being that with the availability of the afore alternative remedy to the petitioner, thereupon the extant petition, hence, claiming the afore relief is mis-constituted, and, also is not maintainable at this stage before this Court.
3. Therefore, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to access the learned Magistrate concerned, with a petition under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., and, upon its presentation before him, the latter shall make orders thereon, in accordance with law.

December 07, 2021 (**SURESHWAR THAKUR**)
'dk kamra' **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No