

CWP-24773-2021

Date of Decision : 07.12.2021

Rohit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
*****B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. At the outset, learned Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate, contends that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/5 dated 02.11.2021, to respondent Nos.2 to 4, in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant petition but no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/5 dated 02.11.2021, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.
4. Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that she has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the nature of order proposed to be passed, there is no necessity to seek reply in the matter.

6. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, perused the paperbook and in view of the facts and circumstances of the case as also statement of learned counsel for the parties, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, *dispose of* the writ petition by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/5 dated 02.11.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.12.2021
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No