

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.39959 of 2019
Date of Decision :09.08.2021**

Vikram Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr.Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide this petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. the petitioner prays for anticipatory bail in FIR No.225 dated 31.07.2019, under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendergarh.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner on 19.09.2019, this Court had enlarged the petitioner on interim bail:-

“Present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, namely Vikram Singh in case FIR No. 225 dated 31.07.2019, registered under Section(s) 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and the alleged recovery of five cartridges is planted one. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is not involved in any other case under the Arms Act and he is

ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. A copy of paper-book be provided to him during the course of the day.

List on 16.11.2019.

Meanwhile, petitioner(s) is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, petitioner(s) shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. The petitioner(s) will join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”.

Learned State counsel fairly submits that the petitioner had since joined the investigation. It is not disputed either that post release of the petitioner pursuant to order dated 19.09.2019 (ibid) almost two years have gone by.

In the wake of the above, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 19.09.2019, is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

09.08.2021

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No