

CWP No.24633 of 2021

Date of Decision :03.12.2021

Baldev Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of Mandamus, directing official respondent Nos.1 to 6 to step-up the pay of the petitioners at par with their juniors i.e. respondent No.7, especially in view of letter (Annexure P/7) dated 22.08.2019 and speaking order (Annexure P/10) dated 17.09.2020 passed by the department in pursuance to order (Annexure P-9) dated 19.06.2020 in CWP No.8290 of 2020 in case titled as 'Sukhwinder Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others', whereby other similarly situated persons have already been granted the benefits as have been claimed by the petitioners.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioners have served representation/legal notice (Annexure P/8) dated 15.09.2021, on respondent No.2, but no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the writ

the claim made in representation/legal notice (Annexure P/8) dated 15.09.2021, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, learned DAG, Punjab, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that she has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, gone over the paper book and in view of the nature of orders proposed to be passed, no reply is necessary. Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation/legal notice (Annexure P/8) dated 15.09.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.12.2021
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No