

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51092-2021

Date of decision: 14.12.2021

Sudeep

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner
 Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

This is the petitioner's second attempt to get bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.25, dated 17.02.2019 registered under Section 398, 401 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station, Pillukhera, District Jind.

Previously, the petitioner alongwith the co-accused filed an application for grant of bail, which was dismissed on 22.11.2019 by this Court, with the following order:-

“By this order two petitions, CRM-M-46317-2019 and CRM-M-38215-2019 filed for grant of bail pending trial to each of the petitioner arising from criminal case FIR No.25 dated 17.2.2019 registered under Sections 398, 401 IPC and Sections 25, 54 of Arms Act at Police Station Pillukhera, District Jind and Section 29 of Arms Act (added lateron) in CRM-M-46371-2019 and in FIR No.25 dated 17.2.2019 registered under Sections 398, 401 IPC and Section 25of Arms Act at Police Station Pillukhera, District Jind (in CRM-M-38215-2019) shall stand disposed of.

As per the case of the prosecution, petitioners alongwith other co-accused had blocked the passage of a vehicle and forced it to stop with an intention to commit dacoity. From each of the petitioner, a country made pistol with live catridges has been recovered. Petitioner-Sudeep is facing two previous criminal cases details whereof are as under:-

Sr.No	FIR No.	Dated	Under Sections	Name of police Station	Status of the case
1.	117	14.5.2016	285, 506, 148, 149 IPC, 25 of Arms Act	Julana	Pending trial and fixed for 25.11.2019
2.	318	4.11.2016	302, 34, 201, 216, 176 IPC	Julana	Pending trial and fixed for 25.11.2019

Against petitioner-Manjit @ Romio there are following cases:-

Sr.No	FIR No.	Dated	Under Sections	Name of police Station	Status of the case
1.	866	23.10.2013	398,401 IPC, 25 Arms Act	City Jind	Acquitted on 13.2.2015
2.	707	1.9.2014	302, 120-B IPC, 25 Arms Act	City Jind	Pending trial and fixed for 20.11.2019
3.	680	27.12.14	396, 341 IPC	Narnaund, Distt. Hisar	Acquitted on 10.5.18
4.	15	16.1.15	386 IPC 25 Arms Act	Safidon	Acquitted on 20.10.17
5.	157	16.2.17	148, 149, 186, 188, 307 IPC 25 Arms Act		Pending trial and fixed for 12.12.19
6.	40	21.8.17	42 Prison Act	Civil Lines Jind	Pending trial and fixed for 20.12.2019
7.	36	26.1.18	323, 506 IPC	Civil Lines, Jind	Pending trial and fixed for 20.11.2019
8.	228	30.5.18	25 Arms Act	Sadar Narwana	Pending trial and fixed for 20.11.2019
9.	590	27.10.18	148, 149, 323, 452, 506 IPC	City Jind	Pending trial and fixed for 27.11.2019
10.	102	5.5.19	25 Arms Act	Julana	Pending trial and fixed for 15.11.2019

In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of regular bail to the petitioners. Hence, both the petitions are dismissed.”

Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the trial of the case has not made any progress.

On the other hand, learned counsel representing the State of Haryana, has informed the Bench that evidence of two prosecution

witnesses has been recorded and the case is now fixed for 07.01.2022, for recording evidence of the remaining witnesses.

The trial court has started recording evidence, therefore, no ground to grant bail to the petitioner is made out. Hence, dismissed. However, the learned trial court is requested to make sincere endeavours for expeditious conclusion of the trial.

14.12.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE