

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CRM-M-50702-2021

Date of Decision: 03.12.2021

SUNIL KUMAR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shehbaz Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner suffered certain injuries in an incident which had occurred on 05.09.2021 and the petitioner was examined by the doctor in the Civil Hospital, Ludhiana, where he was taken for the treatment of the said injuries suffered.

Learned counsel further submits that in the MLR prepared in the said hospital, six injuries were shown whereas, according to the petitioner, he suffered more than six injuries, for which he gave proof to the hospital authorities and requested the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana to intervene so that, the correct MLR of the injuries suffered by the petitioner, is released to him.

Learned counsel submits that a representation is filed by the petitioner before the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana dated 21.10.2021 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration before the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given

to respondent No.2-The Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The learned counsel for the respondents-State raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 21.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) by respondent No.2.

Learned State counsel further submits that appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner dated 21.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) will be passed within a period of eight weeks by respondent No.2 in case, the same has already not been disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

03.12.2021
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No