

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-11423-2021

Date of decision: 20.12.2021

BALWINDER KAUR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. N.S.Dandiwal, Advocate for
Mr. Impinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
along with petitioners through WhatsApp.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are of the age of 18 and 23 years respectively as per their Aadhar Cards, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners performed marriage on 01.11.2021 as per Sikh rites and ceremonies against the wish of respondent No.4 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at his instance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 26.11.2021 (Annexure P-5) was made to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

On the previous date of hearing, respondent No. 2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also

expressed in the representation dated 26.11.2021 and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

Learned State Counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Lakhwinder Singh Mal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Nakodar Jalandhar on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 today which is taken on record.

In para No. 3 of the status report, it has been mentioned that the answering respondent verified from respondent No. 4 with regard to the threats being given to the petitioners for solemnization of marriage with each other on which statement of respondent No. 4 has been recorded who in his statement has categorically stated that he never threatened the petitioners at any point of time and has further stated that petitioner No.2 has not returned to village after solemnization of marriage with petitioner No. 1 and he will not threaten petitioners in future also.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that now the petitioners have no apprehension of danger from respondent No. 4 and the petition may be disposed of with liberty to get the same restored in case of apprehension of any danger from respondent No. 4 in future.

Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

20.12.2021
kavneet singh

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No