

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the victim has levelled false allegations against the present petitioner. He further submits that prior to this also the victim had levelled allegations against one Kuldeep in FIR No.275, dated 15.09.2020 registered at Police Station Uklana under Section 376(2)(n) of IPC, 1860 and subsequently, she was declared hostile. Learned counsel based on the instructions received submits that she is indulged in this kind of blackmailing tactics and concocted stories.

However, on the contrary, learned State counsel has drawn attention of this Court to Para 6 of the order dated 13.10.2021 passed by the learned trial Court. It is relevant to extract that Para which reads as under :-

“6. ASI Meera, the Investigating officer also showed video recordings on her mobile phone. In first video clip, applicant-accused was lifting and removing the Salwar of prosecutrix forcibly, whereas she was resisting and shouting. In second video clip, applicant-accused was tearing the shirt of prosecutrix and molesting her on a bed.”

At this stage, given above, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**December 10, 2021**

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No