

CWP-24503-2021

Date of Decision : 02.12.2021

Baljinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to step up the pay of the petitioners at par with their junior i.e. respondent No.6 in view of letter Annexure P/7 dated 22.08.2019 and speaking order Annexure P/10 dated 17.09.2020, passed by the department in pursuance of order Annexure P/9 dated 19.06.2020, in CWP-8290-2020, in case titled as 'Sukhwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others', whereby similarly situated employees have already been granted the benefits as claimed by the petitioners.
3. At the outset, learned counsel states that the petitioners have submitted representation/legal notice Annexure P/8 dated 10.08.2021, in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the instant petition but the same has not been decided, therefore in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing

respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation/legal notice Annexure P/8 dated 10.08.2021, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

5. Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, perused the paperbook. In view of the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply in the matter. Accordingly, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case as also statement of learned counsel for the parties, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in representation/legal notice Annexure P/8 dated 10.08.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.12.2021

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No