

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50509-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.12.2021

Deepa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 252 dated 30.09.2021, registered under Sections 406, 420, 494 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner, except for her having been named in the FIR; that the allegation against co-accused Afsana @ Ruksana is that she had arranged marriage of the complainant with Preeti, as the earlier wife of the complainant, namely, Kavita Devi, had passed away; that co-accused Afsana @ Ruksana, has already been granted regular bail vide order dated 12.11.2021 passed by this Court and that the petitioner has been in custody since 02.10.2021.

Learned State counsel, while vehemently opposing the prayer for

bail submits that the petitioner along with co-accused Afsana @ Ruksana, had arranged marriage of the complainant with Preeti, who ran away after taking some articles and Rs. 1.50 lakh from the house of the complainant. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner and the factum of the co-accused Afsana @ Ruksana, having already been granted regular bail by this Court.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 02.10.2021. The petitioner is a lady and there is no specific allegation against her. No recovery is to be effected from her. The conclusion of the trial would take a long time. Moreover, there is no other case against the petitioner and co-accused Afsana @ Ruksana, has already been granted bail by this Court. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

07.12.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No