

**129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1497-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.12.2021

Balbir Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Joginder Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate for the appellant

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Both the courts below have decreed the suit for recovery of Rs.3,00,000/- alongwith interest on the basis of a pronote and receipt (negotiable instruments). The aforesaid pronote and receipt were proved by examining Sukhminder Singh, the attesting witness and the plaintiff. The appellant is defendant in the suit. He did not lead any evidence. This appeal has been filed assailing the correctness of the concurrent findings of fact.

Learned counsel representing the appellant contends that the appellant had temporarily shifted to Australia while giving power of attorney to Harkewal Singh, who did not properly defend the suit.

It is evident that the defendant was represented by counsel before the learned trial court as well as the First Appellate Court. He was not represented by any power of attorney. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

14.12.2021
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No