

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-50466-2021
Date of decision: 16.12.2021**

JATINDER KUMAR AND ANOTHER**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present:- Mr. G. C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

Criminal Complaint No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
176	05 th October, 2016	City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar	Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 506 of IPC

The petitioners, apprehending arrest had come up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 02.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 02.12.2021, the petitioners have joined the proceedings.

4. Learned State counsel has submitted that although it is a private complaint, on instructions received from Investigating Officer, it is corroborated that petitioners have joined the proceedings. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this

Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners, then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no further pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners make a case for release on bail.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 02.12.2021 is hereby made absolute.

16.12.2021
Chetan Thakur

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No